

17th BIPM TWSTFT Report

To: TWSTFT Participating Stations

Dear Colleagues,

Please find enclosed the 17th BIPM TWSTFT Report. This report covers the data from November to December 2000. Eight selected TWSTFT links through INTELSAT 307° E are computed and compared with GPS C/A-code common-view data.

During the period covered by this report the following TWSTFT links were used in the construction of TAI: USNO/NPL, VSL/PTB and NPL/PTB. The corresponding GPS C/A-code common-view links were also computed and stored as back-up data. For the NIST/PTB link, GPS data were used for the computation of TAI, and the corresponding TWSTFT data were kept as back-up.

Since 4 January 2001 (MJD = 51914) TWSTFT data for the NIST/PTB link are used for the computation of TAI and the corresponding GPS data are computed in parallel and kept as back-up. The January 2001 results will be reported in the next BIPM TWSTFT Report.

Please note that the BIPM TWSTFT Reports are available by ftp (62.161.69.5, see the directory /Publication/), and via the BIPM web site (www.bipm.org, see Scientific Work of the Time Section). Computer-readable data for all the TWSTFT links published in these reports are available from the same address.

We will be pleased to receive your comments on this report.

Sincerely yours,

Jacques Azoubib and Włodzimierz Lewandowski

17th BIPM TWSTFT Report

Table of Contents

Section I: Comparison of TWSTFT and GPS CV links computed at the BIPM

Section II: Frequency stabilities of the TWSTFT and GPS CV links reported in Section I

Section III: A brief description of the hardware equipment of the participating laboratories

Section IV: Summary of the international time links

Section I

Comparison of TWSTFT and GPS CV links computed at the BIPM

Results of the computation for eight time links are given in Tables 1 to 8. Plots showing the differences between the TWSTFT results and the GPS results are given in Figures 1 to 8. In order to compare easily the various plots, the same scale has been used for all, i.e. y -axis with an amplitude of 30 ns and x -axis spanning Modified Julian Dates 51500–51950.

- TWSTFT links

Because the TWSTFT data are unevenly spaced by intervals of 2 or 3 days, they are linearly interpolated to give the data for the TAI standard dates at intervals of 5 days.

When TWSTFT sessions are missing and data are interpolated between TWSTFT sessions more than 5 days apart, results are printed in bold characters. The upper limit for interpolation is 10 days.

- GPS C/A-code common-view links

GPS C/A-code common-view links are computed using IGS precise ephemerides and IGS ionosphere maps.

Table 1. NIST/PTB link

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(NIST) – UTC(PTB)] /ns | | TWSTFT – GPS |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | TWSTFT | GPS (<i>Circular T</i>) | |
| 1 November (51849) | 40 | 39 | 1 |
| 6 November (51854) | 38 | 40 | -2 |
| 11 November (51859) | 38 | 36 | 2 |
| 16 November (51864) | 37 | 38 | -1 |
| 21 November (51869) | 30 | 31 | -1 |
| 26 November (51874) | 29 | 30 | -1 |
| 1 December (51879) | 30 | 31 | -1 |
| 6 December (51884) | 32 | 35 | -3 |
| 11 December (51889) | 32 | 30 | 2 |
| 16 December (51894) | 27 | 26 | 1 |
| 21 December (51899) | 21 | 17 | 4 |
| 26 December (51904) | 13 | 9 | 4 |
| 31 December (51909) | 7 | 7 | 0 |

$$Y = [UTC(NIST) - UTC(PTB)] \text{ twstft-gps}$$




Figure 1. Differences between TWSTFT and GPS C/A-code common-view for NIST/PTB link.

Notes: A new calibration of the NIST/PTB TWSTFT link derived from *Circular T* after July 1999 was applied starting from 29 November 1999 (MJD = 51511).

For the NIST/PTB link, the GPS data were used for the computation of TAI, and the corresponding TWSTFT data were stored as back-up. Since 4 January 2001 (MJD = 51914) the TWSTFT data are used for the computation of TAI and the corresponding GPS data are computed in parallel and kept as back-up.

Table 2a. USNO/NPL link with NPL TWSTFT Station 01

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(USNO) – UTC(NPL)] /ns | | TWSTFT– GPS |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| | TWSTFT (<i>Circular T</i>) | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | 15 | 26 | –11 |
| 6 November (51854) | 18 | 31 | –13 |
| 11 November (51859) | 19 | 26 | –7 |
| 16 November (51864) | 20 | 33 | –13 |
| 21 November (51869) | 18 | 28 | –10 |
| 26 November (51874) | 16 | 29 | –13 |
| 1 December (51879) | 18 | 31 | –13 |
| 6 December (51884) | 20 | 32 | –12 |
| 11 December (51889) | 20 | 32 | –12 |
| 16 December (51894) | 19 | 31 | –12 |
| 21 December (51899) | 22 | 36 | –14 |
| 26 December (51904) | 21 | 35 | –14 |
| 31 December (51909) | 20 | 36 | –16 |

$$Y = [UTC(USNO) - UTC(NPL)] \text{ twstft-gps}$$




Figure 2a. Differences between TWSTFT (NPL station 01) and GPS C/A-code common-view for USNO/NPL link.

Notes: A new calibration of the USNO/NPL TWSTFT link derived from *Circular T* after June 1999 was applied starting from 29 November 1999 (MJD = 51511).

The USNO/NPL TWSTFT link with NPL TWSTFT Station 01 has been included in the computation of TAI since 1 January 2000 (MJD = 51544).

Table 2b. USNO/NPL link with NPL TWSTFT Station 02

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(USNO) – UTC(NPL)] /ns | | TWSTFT– GPS |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| | TWSTFT (<i>Circular T</i>) | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | 15 | 26 | –11 |
| 6 November (51854) | 17 | 31 | –14 |
| 11 November (51859) | 18 | 26 | –8 |
| 16 November (51864) | 19 | 33 | –14 |
| 21 November (51869) | 16 | 28 | –12 |
| 26 November (51874) | 16 | 29 | –13 |
| 1 December (51879) | 17 | 31 | –14 |
| 6 December (51884) | 19 | 32 | –13 |
| 11 December (51889) | 20 | 32 | –12 |
| 16 December (51894) | 20 | 31 | –11 |
| 21 December (51899) | – | 36 | – |
| 26 December (51904) | – | 35 | – |
| 31 December (51909) | – | 36 | – |

$$Y = [UTC(USNO) - UTC(NPL)] \text{ twstft-gps (NPL2)}$$




Figure 2b. Differences between TWSTFT (NPL station 02) and GPS C/A-code common-view for USNO/NPL link.

Table 3. USNO/PTB link

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(USNO) – UTC(PTB)] /ns | | TWSTFT – GPS |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----|--------------|
| | TWSTFT | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | 20 | 32 | –12 |
| 6 November (51854) | 17 | 32 | –15 |
| 11 November (51859) | 14 | 25 | –11 |
| 16 November (51864) | 14 | 29 | –15 |
| 21 November (51869) | 7 | 19 | –12 |
| 26 November (51874) | 6 | 20 | –14 |
| 1 December (51879) | 11 | 26 | –15 |
| 6 December (51884) | 15 | 31 | –16 |
| 11 December (51889) | 18 | 32 | –14 |
| 16 December (51894) | 14 | 27 | –13 |
| 21 December (51899) | 11 | 25 | –14 |
| 26 December (51904) | 5 | 17 | –12 |
| 31 December (51909) | 1 | 14 | –13 |

$$Y = [UTC(USNO) - UTC(PTB)]_{\text{twstft-gps}}$$




Table 3. Differences between TWSTFT and GPS C/A-code common-view for USNO/PTB link

Note: A calibration of the USNO/PTB TWSTFT link derived from *Circular T* values from July 1999 was applied starting from 29 November 1999 (MJD = 51511).

Table 4. VSL/PTB link

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(VSL) – UTC(PTB)] /ns | | TWSTFT – GPS |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| | TWSTFT (<i>Circular T</i>) | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | –1 | –5 | 4 |
| 6 November (51854) | –10 | –15 | 5 |
| 11 November (51859) | –12 | –15 | 3 |
| 16 November (51864) | – | –20 | – |
| 21 November (51869) | – | –20 | – |
| 26 November (51874) | – | –19 | – |
| 1 December (51879) | – | –18 | – |
| 6 December (51884) | –13 | –12 | –1 |
| 11 December (51889) | –20 | –27 | 7 |
| 16 December (51894) | –22 | –27 | 5 |
| 21 December (51899) | –30 | –34 | 4 |
| 26 December (51904) | –37 | –47 | 10 |
| 31 December (51909) | –37 | –40 | 3 |




Figure 4. Differences between TWSTFT and GPS C/A-code common-view for VSL/PTB link

Notes: The VSL/PTB TWSTFT link was calibrated by *Circular T*.

The VSL/PTB TWSTFT link has been included in the computation of TAI since 1 January 2000 (MJD = 51544).

Table 5. NPL/NIST link

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(NPL) – UTC(NIST)] /ns | | TWSTFT – GPS |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----|--------------|
| | TWSTFT | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | –39 | –33 | –6 |
| 6 November (51854) | –39 | –38 | –1 |
| 11 November (51859) | –42 | –38 | –4 |
| 16 November (51864) | –43 | –42 | –1 |
| 21 November (51869) | –42 | –41 | –1 |
| 26 November (51874) | –40 | –39 | –1 |
| 1 December (51879) | –38 | –36 | –2 |
| 6 December (51884) | –36 | –36 | 0 |
| 11 December (51889) | –35 | –31 | –4 |
| 16 December (51894) | –33 | –30 | –3 |
| 21 December (51899) | –32 | –28 | –4 |
| 26 December (51904) | –30 | –28 | –2 |
| 31 December (51909) | –27 | –29 | 2 |




Figure 5. Differences between TWSTFT and GPS C/A-code common-view for NPL/NIST link

Note: The NPL/NIST TWSTFT link was calibrated using *Circular T* values dating from July 1999, and the calibration value was applied at the beginning of September 1999 (MJD = 51429).

Table 6. NPL/PTB link

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(NPL) – UTC(PTB)] /ns | | TWSTFT – GPS |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----|--------------|
| | TWSTFT (<i>Circular T</i>) | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | 3 | 5 | -2 |
| 6 November (51854) | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| 11 November (51859) | -4 | -2 | -2 |
| 16 November (51864) | -5 | -6 | 1 |
| 21 November (51869) | -11 | -10 | -1 |
| 26 November (51874) | -10 | -9 | -1 |
| 1 December (51879) | -7 | -6 | -1 |
| 6 December (51884) | -3 | -1 | -2 |
| 11 December (51889) | -2 | 0 | -2 |
| 16 December (51894) | -5 | -5 | 0 |
| 21 December (51899) | -11 | -10 | -1 |
| 26 December (51904) | -17 | -18 | 1 |
| 31 December (51909) | -20 | -23 | 3 |

$$Y = [UTC(NPL) - UTC(PTB)]_{\text{twstft-gps}}$$




Figure 6. Differences between TWSTFT and GPS C/A-code common-view for NPL/PTB link.

Notes: A new calibration of the NPL/PTB TWSTFT link using *Circular T* was applied on 29 November 1999 (MJD = 51511).

The NPL/PTB TWSTFT link has been included in the computation of TAI since 4 July 2000 (MJD = 51729).

Table 7. NPL/VSL link

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(NPL) - UTC(VSL)] /ns | | TWSTFT - GPS |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----|--------------|
| | TWSTFT | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | 4 | 11 | -7 |
| 6 November (51854) | 9 | 15 | -6 |
| 11 November (51859) | 8 | 13 | -5 |
| 16 November (51864) | 10 | 15 | -5 |
| 21 November (51869) | 7 | 10 | -3 |
| 26 November (51874) | 5 | 11 | -6 |
| 1 December (51879) | 7 | 12 | -5 |
| 6 December (51884) | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| 11 December (51889) | 19 | 26 | -7 |
| 16 December (51894) | 18 | 22 | -4 |
| 21 December (51899) | 17 | 24 | -7 |
| 26 December (51904) | 21 | 29 | -8 |
| 31 December (51909) | 17 | 18 | -1 |

$$Y = [UTC(NPL) - UTC(VSL)] \text{ twstft-gps}$$




Figure 7. Differences between TWSTFT and GPS C/A-code common-view for NPL/VSL link

Note: A new calibration of the NPL/VSL TWSTFT link using *Circular T* was applied on 29 November 1999 (MJD = 51511).

Table 8. PTB/OCA link

| Date 2000 (MJD) | [UTC(PTB) – OCA clock] /ns | | TWSTFT – GPS |
|---------------------|----------------------------|------|--------------|
| | TWSTFT | GPS | |
| 1 November (51849) | 2551 | 2349 | 202 |
| 6 November (51854) | 2663 | 2462 | 201 |
| 11 November (51859) | 2778 | 2570 | 208 |
| 16 November (51864) | 2896 | 2690 | 206 |
| 21 November (51869) | 3009 | 2808 | 201 |
| 26 November (51874) | 3131 | 2932 | 199 |
| 1 December (51879) | – | 3021 | – |
| 6 December (51884) | – | 3155 | – |
| 11 December (51889) | – | 3270 | – |
| 16 December (51894) | 3590 | 3390 | 200 |
| 21 December (51899) | 3714 | 3514 | 200 |




Figure 8. Differences between TWSTFT and GPS C/A-code common-view for PTB/OCA link

Section II

Frequency stability of the TWSTFT and GPS CV links
reported in Section I




Figure 1. Frequency stability of $[UTC(NIST) - UTC(PTB)]$ by GPS CV and by TWSTFT.




Figure 2. Frequency stability of $[UTC(USNO) - UTC(NPL)]$ by GPS CV and by TWSTFT.




Figure 3. Frequency stability of [UTC(USNO) – UTC(PTB)] by GPS CV and by TWSTFT.




Figure 4. Frequency stability of [UTC(VSL) – UTC(PTB)] by GPS CV and by TWSTFT.




Figure 5. Frequency stability of $[UTC(NPL) - UTC(NIST)]$ by GPS CV and by TWSTFT.





Figure 6. Frequency stability of $[UTC(NPL) - UTC(PTB)]$ by GPS CV and by TWSTFT.



Stable32

Figure 7. Frequency stability of $[UTC(NPL) - UTC(VSL)]$ by GPS CV and by TWSTFT.


Section III: Description of equipment providing data for this report.

| Lab. | GPS CV | TWSTFT (through INTELSAT 307° E) |
|--------|---|--|
| NIST | Receiver type: NBS/TTR5 Receiver serial no: 010 Internal delay: 53.0 ns Reference name: UTC(NIST) Reference type: ensemble of 4 Cs + 5 H-masers | Modem type: University of Stuttgart/MITREX 2500 Modem serial no: Antenna: 3.7 m – steerable Degree of automation: 85 % Reference name: UTC(NIST) Reference type: ensemble of 4 Cs + 5 H-masers |
| OCA | Receiver type: AOA/TTR5 Receiver serial No: Internal delay: Reference name: OCA clock Reference type: 1 Cs | Modem type: University of Stuttgart/MITREX 2500 Modem serial no: Antenna: 1.8 m – VSAT Andrew Degree of automation: Reference name: OCA clock Reference type: 1 Cs |
| NPL * | Receiver type: AOA/TTR5A Receiver serial No: 276 Internal delay: 68.5 ns Reference name: UTC(NPL) Reference type: 1 H-maser | Modem type: TimeTech/SATRE (carrier-phase option) Modem serial no: 038 Antenna: 2.4 m – VSAT Degree of automation: Reference name: UTC(NPL) Reference type: 1 H-maser |
| PTB | Receiver type: Rockwell Collins/TTR5 Receiver serial No: Internal delay: 77 ns Reference name: UTC(PTB) Reference type: 1 Lab. Cs | Modem type: TimeTech/SATRE (carrier-phase option) Modem serial no: 037 Antenna: 1.8 m – VSAT Degree of automation: Reference name: UTC(PTB) Reference type: 1 Lab. Cs |
| TUG * | Receiver type: NBS/TTR5 Receiver serial No: 012 Internal delay: 55.6 ns Reference name: UTC(TUG) Reference type: 1 Cs | Modem type: TimeTech/SATRE Modem serial no: 043 Antenna: 1.8 m – VSAT-1 Degree of automation: full Reference name : UTC(TUG) Reference type: 1 Cs |
| USNO * | Receiver type: AOA/TTR6 Receiver serial no: 440 Internal delay: Reference name: UTC(USNO MC) Reference type: 1 H-maser + freq. syntent. | Modem type: University of Stuttgart/MITREX 2500 Modem serial no: 85006 Antenna: 4.6 m – steerable Degree of automation: Reference name: UTC(USNO MC) Reference type: 1 H-maser + freq. syntent. |
| VSL | Receiver type: VSL/TTR5 Receiver serial no: VSL01 Internal delay: 63.9 ns Reference name: UTC(VSL) Reference type: 1 Cs + micro-phase-stepper | Modem type: Univerity of Stuttgart/MITREX 2500 Modem serial no: 85008 Antenna: 3 m – steerable Degree of automation: Reference name: UTC(VSL) Reference type: 1 Cs + micro-phase-stepper |

Notes

- * The NPL, TUG and USNO are also equipped with TWSTFT back-up stations.
The TUG back-up station is portable and fully automated.

Section IV: Summary of the international time links.



TUG operational until June 2000

