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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of the support of BIPM Time and Frequency Group by EURAMET, PTB conducted a relative calibration of the GNSS equipment of BEV, DLR IKN, METAS, and VSL with respect to the calibration of PTB receiver PT02, whose last calibration was reported with Cal_Id=10012014 [RD01]. PTB provided its receiver PTBT for the purpose as travelling equipment.

The current campaign followed as much as possible the BIPM Guide [RD02] and results will be reported using Cal_Id 1012_2016. Results provided are the visited receivers' internal delays for GPS P-code signals on the two frequencies L1 and L2 (INT DLY (P1), and INT DLY(P2)). Because of the lack of an agreed procedure today, delays for the C/A-code signals on L1 and for GLONASS signals were not determined during this campaign.

The final results are included in Table 12-1. The internal delays of 6 receivers were determined with an uncertainty of about 1 ns for P 1 and 0.6 ns for P 2 , respectively. The uncertainty values for the DLR receivers are larger and influenced by the knowledge of the cable delay of the fixed installation on site. The uncertainty for P3 time transfer links to PTB is of the order 2.1 ns for all sites and all receivers involved (one exemption) if the uncertainty in CAB DLY for the fixed installation is not taken into account.

The calibration campaign suffered from the apparent variability of the internal delays of the travelling receiver, but also of that of the reference receiver PT02. In consequence, the achieved uncertainty values are slightly larger than obtained in previous campaigns.
BIPM suggested minor changes in the uncertainty budget which were introduced in Version 1.1 (maintained in 1.2), compared to version 1.0. The BIPM reasoning is based on the observation that this "first" G1G2 calibration report could serve as a kind of template for future reports and should avoid overly optimistic estimates of uncertainty contributions. Details are given in Section 11.

The final values as reported in Table 12-1, rounded to one decimal, are the same as reported in Version 1.0 with the exception of receiver DLR UTC3 for which a larger uncertainty was found more appropriate, corrected in version 1.2, after an inconsistency in its set-up configuration was noted after the fact.

As a reminder: All uncertainty values reported in this document are 1- $\sigma$ values.
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## 1. CONTENTS OF THE REPORT

As part of the support of BIPM Time and Frequency Group by EURAMET, PTB conducted a relative calibration of the GNSS equipment of BEV, DLR IKN, METAS, and VSL with respect to the calibration of receiver PT02, whose last calibration was reported with Cal_Id=1001-2014 [RD01]. PTB provided its receiver PTBT for the purpose as travelling equipment. This report documents the installation, data taking and evaluation during the campaign.

The determination of the internal delay values of the receivers at the visited sites is a three-step process.
At first (Common-clock 1, CC1) the travelling receiver, PTBT, is compared to the "golden" receiver, PT02, and the offset between the actual and the assumed PTBT delay values is determined.
After that, the four sites are visited in sequence and the internal delay values of the devices under test, and their statistical properties are determined.
Finally, the stability of the PTBT delay is assessed by a second common-clock measurement (CC2) in PTB. Based thereon, the "final" INT DLY values of the visited receivers and their uncertainty values are calculated.
The structure of this report follows this sequence of work. After presentation of the participants and schedule, a general section follows that contains the (mathematical) calibration procedure, followed by an individual section corresponding to each visited site. The final results and the uncertainty discussion close the report. In the Annex the BIPM information tables are reproduced.
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## 2. PARTICIPANTS AND SCHEDULE

Table 2-1: List of participants

| Institute | Point of contact | Postal address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PTB | Thomas Polewka <br> Tel +49 531 592 4418 <br> Thomas.polewka@ptb.de | PTB, AG 4.42 <br> Bundesallee 100 <br> 38116 Braunschweig, Germany |
| BEV | Anton Niessner <br> Tel: +43 1 21110 6234 <br> anton.niessner@bev.gv.at | BEV <br> Arltgasse 35 <br> 1160 Wien, Austria |
| DLR IKN | Johann Furthner <br> Tel +49 8153 28 2304 <br> Johann.Furthner@dIr.de | DLR IKN <br> Münchner Straße 20 <br> 82234 Weßling, Germany |
| METAS | Laurent-Guy Bernier <br> +4158387 0645 <br> laurent-guy.bernier@metas.ch | METAS <br> Lindenweg 50 <br> CH-3003 Bern-Wabern, <br> Switzerland |
| VSL | Erik Dierikx <br> Tel +31 15 2691688 <br> edierikx@vsl.nl | VSL <br> Thijsseweg 11 <br> $2629 ~ J A ~ D e l f t, ~ T h e ~$ |
| Netherlands |  |  |

Table 2-2: Schedule of the campaign

| Date | Institute | Action | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016-04-04 <br> until 2016-04-10 | PTB | First common-clock <br> comparison between <br> PTBT and PT02 | 7 days used for <br> evaluation, MJ D <br> $57482-57488$ (incl.) |
| 2016-04-19 <br> until 2016-04-24 | DLR IKN | Operation of PTBT and <br> five GNSS receivers in <br> parallel | About 5.4 days, MJ D <br> $57497-57402$ |
| 2016-05-13 <br> until 2016-05-22 | METAS | Operation of PTBT and <br> two GNSS receivers in <br> parallel | 8 days used for <br> evaluation, MJ D <br> $57522-57529$ (incl.) |
| 2016-06-02 <br> until 2016-06-07 | VSL | Operation of PTBT and <br> two GNSS receivers in <br> parallel | 3 days used for <br> evaluation, MJ D <br> $57542-57544$ (incl.) |
| 2016-06-17 <br> until 2016-06-22 | BEV | Operation of PTBT and <br> two GNSS receivers in <br> parallel | 3 days used for <br> evaluation, MJ D 57557 <br> -57559 (incl.) |
| Starting 2016-07-04 | PTB | Operation of PTBT <br> after return | 7 days used for <br> evaluation, MJ D 57575 <br> -57581 (incl.) |
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Information on the receivers at each site is contained in individual information tables which can be found in the Annex.
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## 3. CALI BRATION PROCEDURE

The calculation of INT DLY values for the receiver to be calibrated follows the description given in BIPM TM. 212 [RD03] and has been coded in software routine cv.py written by Julia Leute of PTB. The following text piece that describes its function is generated via copy-paste from RD03 with small changes of the designation of quantities.

When dealing with G1G2 calibrations, in principal we distinguish receivers $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T}$, and G : V for visited, T for travelling, and G for golden_reference. G1 labs committed to ship their T to the other sites. In the current campaign, PT02 serves as the reference receiver G, its internal delays were determined by BIPM in the first G1 campaign with the identifier Cal_Id=1001-2014. PTBT served as the travelling receiver T.
Conventionally, the receiver delay $D$ is considered as the sum of different terms that are defined subsequently:

## (1) INT DLY

The sum $X_{R}+X_{S}$ represents the "INT DLY" field in the CGGTTS header:
$X_{R}$ represents the receiver hardware delay, between a reference point whose definition depends on the receiver type and the internal time reference of the measurements. $X_{s}$ represents the antenna delay, between the phase center and the antenna cable connector at the antenna body. We distinguish the two quantities for the two frequencies, 1 and 2 .

INT $\operatorname{DLY}(\mathrm{P} 1)$, and INT $\operatorname{DLY}(\mathrm{P} 2)$ of receiver T are the basic quantities that are determined during the relative calibration. For calculating ionosphere-free observation data, INT DLY(P3) is calculated as $2.54 \times$ INT DLY(P1)-1.54×INT DLY(P2).

The following terms are considered frequency independent, i. e. no distinction is made for P1 and P2 and other signal frequencies.

## (2) CAB DLY

The sum $X_{C}+X_{D}$ represents the "CAB DLY" field in the CGGTTS header.
$X_{C}$ corresponds to the delay of the long cable from the antenna to the input socket at either the antenna splitter or the receiver body directly. If a splitter is installed, $X_{D}$ corresponds to the delay of the splitter and small cable up to the receiver body. For a simple set-up with just an antenna cable, $X_{D}=0$.

## (3) REF DLY

The sum $X_{P}+X_{o}$ represents the "REF DLY" field in the CGGTTS header.
$X_{p}$ corresponds to the delay of the cable between the laboratory reference point for local UTC and the 1 PPS-in socket of the receiver.
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}}$ corresponds to the delay between the 1PPS-in socket and the receiver internal reference point, the latter depending on the receiver type:

- For Ashtech Z12-T: The first positive zero crossing of the inverted $20 \mathrm{MHz}-\mathrm{in}$ following the 1PPS-in, delayed by 15.8 ns ,
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- For Septentrio PolaRx2: The 1PPS-out, delayed by 8.7 ns ,
- For Septentrio PolaRx4: The 1 PPS-out, no further correction
- For DICOM GTR50 and GTR51: The 1PPS-in, i.e. $X_{0}=0$,
- For Javad/Topcon: The first positive zero crossing of the $5 / 10 \mathrm{MHz}$-in following the 1PPS-in.
- For TTS-4: RD02, Section 2.3.2, and Annex G specify the procedure for TTS-4, which in detail depends on the software version.

Details of the measurement procedures for the Ashtech Z12-T are given in the BIPM calibration guideline [RD02], but the parameters of PT02 were not determined again on occasion of the current campaign.

The distinction of the individual components of the receiver delay reflects the fact that two of them, 2 and 3, can in principle be measured with standard laboratory equipment. Changes of the receiver installation typically affect cabling and thus such delays. The quantity to be determined by the relative calibration is INT DLY: INT DLY of the device under test is determined in such a way that the common-clock differences obtained between the device under test and the reference are zero on average. The INT DLY of T may need to be adjusted so that $T$ and $G$ match, but in practice the small correction needed is taken into account only when INT DLY of $V$ is adjusted to $G$, using $T$ as intermediate for the measurements made at the different sites.

In the process followed by PTB, valid CGGTTS files with dual frequency observation (L3P) data (including correct, accurate antenna coordinates) are needed. As a reminder,

REFGPS(k) $=\left[\right.$ REFGPS RAw $-\mathrm{CAB} D L Y_{F}-I N T \operatorname{DLY}(P 3)+$ REF DLY $\left.{ }_{F}\right]$,
where REFGPS $(k)$ is reported in column 10 of the standard CGGTTS files, REFGPS RAW designates the uncorrected measurement values, INT DLY(P3) is calculated as $2.54 \times$ INT $\operatorname{DLY}(P 1)_{F}-1.54 \times$ INT DLY $(P 2)_{F}$, and the values $Q_{F}$ are reported in the CGGTTS file header.

The software cv.py in calibration mode is used to calculate:

REFGPS $\mathrm{P}_{1}(\mathrm{j})=$ REFGPS(j) $+\mathrm{MDIO}(\mathrm{j})$
REFGPS $_{\text {P2 }}(\mathrm{j})=$ REFGPS $(\mathrm{j})+\mathrm{MDIO}(\mathrm{j})+\left(\left(\mathrm{f}_{1} / \mathrm{f}_{2}\right)^{2}-1\right) \times \mathrm{MSIO}(\mathrm{j})$,
where $\left(f_{1} / f_{2}\right)^{2}=1.647$ for GPS for each satellite observation $j$ and REFGPS(j), MDIO(j), and $\mathrm{MSIO}(\mathrm{j})$ are from the line in the CGGTTS file that reports the observation j. Eq. 2a and 2 b build on the rules how CGGTTS L3P data lines are generated.

If the common-view condition is fulfilled for the observations with $T$ and $G$, the differences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{Pi}:=\mathrm{REFGPS} \mathrm{Pi}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{~T})-\text { REFGPS }_{\mathrm{Pi}}(\mathrm{G}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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are calculated. The example here involves G and T , equivalent relations hold for the pair of receivers T and V .
cv.py at the end of the computation edits the median value of all individual observations $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$ for P1 and P2, and the number of data points used. In addition cv.py generates a file deltap_stats that contains observation epoch (MJD.frakt) and the average $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1, \Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ of all satellite observations at that epoch. Such values are plotted throughout the report in the various figures.

The calculation of the INT DLY values comprises two steps:

Step 1: INT DLY(Pi)_T_corr = $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{G})+\mathrm{INT}$ DLY(Pi)_T_F,
where the last summand >_F < is the value reported in the CGGTTS file.

Step 2: The final results for receiver V is to be calculated as
INT DLY(Pi)_V_new = $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T})+\langle\Delta \mathrm{Pi}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{G})>+\mathrm{INT}$ DLY(Pi)_V_F,
where $<\Delta \mathrm{Pi}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{G})>$ is the mean value obtained during CC1 and CC2. Another option would have been to adjust the INT DLY of receiver T after CC1, but this was not done.

The third summand in (5) on the right represents the INT DLY value that was reported previously in the CGGTTS file of receiver V. In many cases this value was zero (as in case of the DLR receivers, as example).
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## 4. CHARACTERIZATION OF PTB EQUIPMENT

The receiver PTBT was functionally tested before shipment to the first visited institute, DLR IKN. Figure 4-1 shows the result of long-term common-clock common-view comparisons between PTBT, PT03, and PT02. PT03 is a receiver of the same kind as PT02.The PTBT- PT02 data are split into two groups. At MJD 57461 the antenna cable of PTBT was changed from a shorter $50-\mathrm{m}$ cable to the $65-\mathrm{m}$ cable which has been used throughout the current campaign. The CAB DLY was corrected using the respective measured values. The difference between the mean of the two data sets is 0.65 ns which is compatible with the uncertainty for time interval measurement of the time interval counter of type SR620. The two CAB DLY values were measured 2012 and 2016, respectively, and no record is kept which counter was used in 2012. For the current campaign, the same antenna cable and the same CAB DLY value is used in all PTBT files. Even if this value is incorrect, the result of the relative calibration of the internal delays of the receivers at the visited sites is not affected. The long-term stability of the PTBT is further discussed in Section 10.
The installation of the receivers in PTB is depicted in Figure 4-2 for 1 PPS signals and in Figure $4-3$ for 5 MHz signals. The PT02 and PT03 receivers are supplied with 20 MHz from a times 4 multiplier.

GPS L3P CV for PTB receivers


Figure 4-1: Common-clock common-view comparison between GPS receivers at PTB, daily mean values

We note from Figure 4-1 that PTBT and PT02 are indeed not perfectly aligned. The correct PTBT INT DLY(Pi) values to be used in the calculation of INT DLY of receivers V is determined using eq. (5) as explained before.


Figure 4-2: UTC(PTB) reference point and 1 PPS signal distribution to PT02, PT03 and PTBT


Figure 4-3: UTC(PTB) signal distribution ( $5 \mathrm{MHz}, 10 \mathrm{MHz}, 20 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) to PT02, PT03 and PTBT
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Figure 4-4: Installation of GNSS antennas at PTB

Figure 4-4 illustrates the installation of GNSS antennas on the roof of the PTB time laboratory (clock hall). The two Ashtech SNOW antennas (with dome) belong to PT03 (background) and PT02 (middle). The PTBT antenna was mounted on the mast in the forefront, replacing the choke-ring antenna that was mounted at the time when the picture was taken.
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## 5. RESULTS OF COMMON-CLOCK SET-UP IN PTB: PERIOD 1

The period 57482 to 57488 ( 7 days, 2016-04-10 - 2016-04 16) was chosen to determine the initial PTBT INT DLY values (CC1). The result of comparison with PT02 as the reference are shown in Figure 5-1 illustrating in total $622 \Delta \mathrm{Pi}$ (see eq. 3) values obtained as mean over all common view observations at a given epoch. The time instability (TDEV) plots for the two data sets follow as Figure $5-2$. In addition to the measurement noise, there is a small variation in the $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$ values which is absent in the $\Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ values. This is reflected in the two TDEV plots. The numerical results are given in the Summary sub-section at the end of the report on CC2 in PTB.


Figure 5-1: $\Delta$ Pi values obtained during the first common-clock set-up in PTB.


Figure 5-2: TDEV obtained for the two data sets shown in, $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$ left, $\Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ right.

The INT DLY(Pi) of PTBT have not been corrected for the offsets shown in Figure 5-1 before shipment. Instead, the individual value found for the visited receivers will be corrected for the mean value obtained after the second common-clock set-up (see eq. 5)).

| Project : | EURAMET_PTB_G1G2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Code: | $1012 \_2016$ |
| Date: | $03 / 10 / 2016$ |
| Version: | 1.2 |
| Page: | 18 of 42 |

## 6. OPERATION OF PTBT IN DLR

PTBT was operated at DLR IKN for about 5.5 days between MJD 57497 and 57503 (2016-04-19 - 2016-04-24). In parallel, 5 GNSS receivers were operated, all made by Septentrio, but coming in different versions. There designations are UTC1, UTC2, UTC3, UTC4, and OBET. Details on the receivers and their installation are given in the Annex. The antenna coordinates were determined in current ITRF shortly before the campaign for both masts in use. The installation of the receivers in the DLR IKN time laboratory is illustrated in Figure 6-1 in which the receiver OBET is designated as UTC5. The mounting of the PTBT antenna is shown in Figure 6-2. The Septentrio receivers primarily generate RINEX 2.1 files, and conversion to CGGTTS files was either done via the software installed on the receivers or externally. It turned out that some of the files were corrupted on individual days.


Figure 6-1: Scheme of the installation of the GNSS receivers at DLR IKN
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Figure 6-2: I nstallation of the PTBT antenna on the DLR I KN antenna rack


Figure 6-3: $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$-values recorded between PTBT and 5 GNSS receivers at DLR IKN.

In Figure 6-3, the $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$ (3) derived from the raw data are depicted. The data from UTC1 and UTC4 on day 57499 were discarded as the $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$ jumped between discrete values for several hours. Further individual outliers were removed from the data of all receivers, so that finally cleaned data were used to calculate the $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$, as shown in Figure 6-4.
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Figure 6-4: $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$-values recorded between PTBT and 5 GNSS receivers at DLR IKN, outliers and corrupted data removed

Table 6-1: $\Delta \mathrm{I}$ NT DLY(Pi) values and statistical properties obtained initially.

| LI NT DLY (Pi) <br> for receivers at <br> DLR I KN | Mean / ns | Median / ns | Std.Dev. / <br> ns | TDEV / ns | Number of <br> epochs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OBET P1 | 59.14 | 59.15 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 474 |
| OBET P2 | 57.42 | 57.41 | 0.42 | 0.15 | 474 |
| UTC1 P1 | 202.89 | 202.92 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 386 |
| UTC1 P2 | 201.98 | 202.02 | 0.44 | 0.15 | 386 |
| UTC2 P1 | 206.71 | 206.71 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 462 |
| UTC2 P2 | 199.04 | 199.07 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 462 |
| UTC3 P1 | 209.49 | 209.50 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 465 |
| UTC3 P2 | 199.39 | 199.43 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 465 |
| UTC4 P1 | 59.62 | 59.64 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 385 |
| UTC4 P2 | 57.99 | 57.98 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 385 |

In Table 6-1 the results obtained for the $\Delta$ INT DLY(Pi) values with respect to the initial PTBT values and their statistical uncertainty are collected. The corresponding TDEV plots are shown in Table 6-2. As a statistical measurement uncertainty the value of TDEV at $\tau$ equal to about one tenth of the total measurement time (about 30000 s ) is chosen, cum grano salis to be not too optimistic. The corresponding TDEV plots are collected in Table 6-2.

This practice has been followed for the data collected at all sites.
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Table 6-2: Time instability of the $\triangle \mathrm{Pi}$-values obtained for the five GNSS receivers at DLR IKN with reference to receiver PTBT

| Receiver <br> at DLR <br> I KN | Time instability of $\Delta \mathbf{P} 1$-values | Time instability of $\triangle \mathbf{P} 2$-values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBET |  |  |
| UTC1 |  |  |
| UTC2 |  |  |
| UTC3 |  |  |
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It was noted after the fact, that the installation of receiver UTC3 was reported with a small error in the report sheet (Annex, page 46). As the truth could not be identified weeks after the fact, the discrepancy between the sum Xp + Xo and REF DLY was added as a contribution to the calibration uncertainty in Table 11-1 and thus affects the values in Table 12-1.
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## 7. OPERATION OF PTBT AT METAS

PTBT was operated at METAS for almost 8 days, and the seven completely covered days MJD 57522 to MJD 57529 (2016-05-14 - 2016-05-21) were used for the evaluation. In parallel, 2 METAS GNSS receivers were operated, with designations CHOO and $\mathrm{CHO1}$. Details on the receivers and their installation are given in the Annex. The installation of the receivers in the METAS time laboratory is illustrated in Figure 7-1. The mounting of GNSS antennas at METAS is shown in Figure 7-2. Here we see the antennas of CHOO and $\mathrm{CHO1}$ on the right. On the left one can see the WAB3 location with an Ashtech antenna which is no longer used. The PTBT antenna was mounted on the WAB3 location. The empty mounting structure without an antenna is reserved for WAB4. The mounting structures represent the standard mounting structures used by Swiss Topo and the geodetic community in Switzerland. The mounting structure has a flat top which defines the antenna mounting plane. So it is designed for an antenna which has the RF connector on the side and the cable leaving horizontally. The PTBT antenna thus needed to be mounted on an extender (compare Figure 6-2).
The CGGTS files were generated from RINEX 2.1 files using R2CGGTTS v5.1 for CH 00 and R2CGGTTS v4.3 for receiver CH01. Antenna coordinates had been newly determined consistently by METAS.


Figure 7-1: Scheme of the installation of the GNSS receivers at METAS
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Figure 7-2: Installation of GNSS antennas on the METAS roof

The data were of very good quality, a single data point was removed as outlier that occurred at the day boundary 57023.0. The data used are depicted in Figure 7-3


Figure 7-3: $\Delta$ Pi-values recorded between PTBT and 2 GNSS receivers at METAS, one outlier removed
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Table 7-1: $\quad$ II NT DLY( Pi ) values and statistical properties obtained initially. TDEV values are estimates based on the graphs shown in Table 7-2

| LI NT DLY(Pi) <br> for receivers <br> at METAS | Mean / ns | Median / ns | Std.Dev. / <br> ns | TDEV / ns | Number of <br> epochs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CH00 P1 | -3.54 | -3.54 | 0.29 | 0.1 | 712 |
| CH00 P2 | -4.78 | -4.79 | 0.31 | 0.1 | 712 |
| CH01 P1 | 2.82 | 2.81 | 0.31 | 0.1 | 712 |
| CH01 P2 | 1.70 | 1.69 | 0.33 | 0.1 | 713 |

In Table 7-1 the results obtained for the $\triangle I N T D L Y(P i)$ values with respect to the initial PTBT values and their statistical uncertainty are collected. The corresponding TDEV plots are shown in Table 7-2.

Table 7-2: Time instability of the $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$-values obtained for the two GNSS receivers at METAS with reference to receiver PTBT

| Receiver at METAS | Time instability of $\triangle$ P1-values | Time instability of $\Delta \mathbf{P} 2$-values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHOO |  |  |
| CHO1 |  |  |
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## 8. OPERATION OF PTBT AT VSL

PTBT was operated at VSL for about 5 days. In parallel, 2 VSL GNSS receivers were operated, with designations VSLF and VSLG (file names GZVS06.. and GZVS07..). Details on the receivers and their installation are given in the Annex. The installation of the receivers in the VSL time laboratory is illustrated in Figure 8-1. The mounting of GNSS antennas at VSL is shown in Figure 8-2. The PTBT antenna needed to be mounted on an extender, similar as in METAS


Figure 8-1: Scheme of the installation of the GNSS receivers at VSL


Figure 8-2: Installation of GNSS antennas on the VSL roof: from left to right: VSLG, VSLF, and PTBT

The coordinates of the PTBT antenna post were initially estimated by VSL based on a previous campaign, and the coordinates were used to produce the PTBT CGGTTS files for the days in question. Later VSL determined the PTBT antenna coordinates by processing PTBT RINEX 2.1 files with the NRCan PPP software package [RD04]. The differences exceeded 15 cm for the Xcoordinate and about 10 cm for the other coordinates. Therefore new CGGTTS files with the
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new coordinates were generated using r2cggtts V . 5.1. As this process requires RINEX files of days $k$ and $k+1$ for the generation of the CGGTTS file of day $k$, in the end only three days of data (MJD 57542 to MJD 57544 (2016-06-04 - 2016-06-06) were available for the determination of the $\Delta I N T$ DLY $(\mathrm{Pi})$ for the two VSL receivers. With this approach, all coordinates used were generated in a consistent way using the same procedure within a few weeks. The data used are depicted in Figure 8-3. In Table 8-1 the results obtained for the $\Delta$ INT DLY(Pi) values obtained with respect to the initial PTBT values and their statistical uncertainty are collected. The TDEV plots are shown in Table 8-2. The large $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$ values for VSLF can be explained by the fact that the delay values used in VSLF had been previously aligned to another calibrated receiver without proper distinction of the P1 and P2 delays.


Figure 8-3: $\Delta$ Pi-values recorded between PTBT and 2 GNSS receivers at VSL

Table 8-1: $\Delta$ I NT DLY(Pi) values and statistical properties obtained initially. TDEV values are estimates based on the graphs shown in Figure 8-3.

| LI NT DLY(Pi) <br> for receivers <br> at VSL | Mean / ns | Median / ns | Std.Dev. / <br> ns | TDEV / ns | Number of <br> epochs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VSL06 P1 | 15.15 | 15.17 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 267 |
| VSL06 P2 | 23.65 | 23.65 | 0.22 | 0.1 | 267 |
| VSL07 P1 | 3.83 | 3.85 | 0.22 | 0.1 | 268 |
| VSL07 P2 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 268 |
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Table 8-2: Time instability of the $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$-values obtained for the two GNSS receivers at VSL with reference to receiver PTBT

| Receiver at VSL | Time instability of $\Delta P 1$-values | Time instability of $\mathbf{\Delta P 2}$-values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VSL06 |  |  |
| VSL07 |  | TIME INSTABILITY |

In the course of correspondence between Erik Dierikx of VSL and Gerard Petit of BIPM it was clarified that the statement of REF DLY and - in consequence thereof - of INT DLY of the VSL receivers was initially not following the rules laid down in the Calibration Guideline [RD02]. VSL decided to adapt its practice to [RD02] and provided the new delay values. All VSL data files kept in storage contain the "old" values. Therefore in Table 12-1 (results table) two lines appear for VSL receivers. The latter one contains the values that are to be used further on. The corrections made by VSL have no impact on the calibration uncertainty. The files in the Annex contain the up-to-date values for delays and coordinates.
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## 9. OPERATION OF PTBT AT BEV

PTBT was operated at BEV during almost 6 days, but only 4 completely covered days, MJD 57557 to MJD 57560 (2016-06-18 - 2016-06-21) were used for the evaluation. In parallel, 2 BEV GNSS receivers were operated, with designations BE1_ and BE3_ for which internal delays were determined. BE_1 is a TTS-4 receiver, and for this type of receiver RD02 gives instructions how to state REF DLY. In the original files provided (GMBE1_MJ.DD we find "REF DLY $=23.20$ ns (1PPS DLY: 23.20 ns, phase corr: 0.00 ns )". Probably the measurement between 1 PPS in and 10 MHz in had not been made. Therefoer th4 statement of REF DLY is not fully consistent with [RD02]. Care must be taken when the installation is changed, as unexpected result could be found. The calibration result and its uncertainty are unaffected. The BEV's receiver BE2 _ is a single frequency multichannel receiver, and in view of the lack of a calibration procedure, its internal delay was not determined within this campaign. Details on the receivers and their installation are given in the Annex. The installation of the receivers in the BEV time laboratory is illustrated in Figure 9-1. The mounting of the PTBT GNSS antenna at BEV is shown in Figure 9-2.


Figure 9-1. Scheme of the installation of the GNSS receivers at BEV


Figure 9-2. Installation of the PTBT antenna at BEV
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A first inspection of common-clock common-view data from the two BEV receivers showed an unexpected diurnal signature, as seen in Figure 9-3 where both L1C single frequency data and L3P (ionosphere-free) data are shown. This could possibly be explained by a gross difference in the estimation of the ionospheric delay in the two receivers (of different brand). Using RINEX 2.1 files provided from both receivers allowed the determination of the antenna coordinates, and it turned out that one value in the CGGTTS files of BE3_ was wrong by 1.15 m . When this was corrected for, the signature in CV data disappeared. In order to accomplish this, new CGGTS files were generated from RINEX 2.1 files using R2CGGTTS v5.1. In order to remain consistent, also the PTBT position at BEV was newly determined. As this process requires RINEX files of days $k$ and $k+1$ for the generation of a CGGTTS file of day $k$, in the end only three days of data were available for the determination of the INT DLY (Pi) delays for the two BEV receivers. With this approach, however, all coordinates used were generated in a consistent way using the same procedure. The internal delays of both BEV receivers were finally calculated using the newly determined coordinates and using a corrected value for the BE1_ REF DLY which was provided by BEV. In the Annex, only the updated tables of receiver parameters that were actually used are given. In correspondence between BEV and BIPM it was clarified that the BE1_ REF DLY value was determined not in full adherence to [RD02]. The TTS4 installation imposes a measurement of the quantity "phase corr" which did not happen. BEV wished nevertheless that for the sake of continuity the situation is taken as is and delay values are reported as REF DLY and newly determined INT DLY in the future.


Figure 9-3. Common-clock Common view comparison between the two receivers of BEV based on their original CGGTTS files.

The $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$ data are depicted in Figure 9-4. In Table 9-1 the results obtained for the $\Delta \mathrm{INT} \operatorname{DLY}(\mathrm{Pi})$ values obtained with respect to the initial PTBT values and their statistical uncertainty are collected. The TDEV plots are shown in Table 9-2.

Project :
EURAMET PTB G1G2
Code:
1012_2016
03/10/2016
Date:
1.2

Version:
Page:
31 of 42


Figure 9-4. $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$-values recorded between PTBT and 2 GNSS receivers at BEV

Table 9-1. $\Delta \mathrm{I}$ NT DLY( Pi ) values and statistical properties obtained initially. TDEV values are estimates based on the graphs shown in Figure 9-4.

| LI NT <br> DLY(Pi) <br> for receiver <br> at BEV | Mean / ns | Median / ns | Std.Dev. / <br> ns | TDEV / ns | Number of <br> epochs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BE1_P1 | -5.21 | -5.15 | 0.40 | 0.1 | 261 |
| BE1_P2 | -7.71 | -7.68 | 0.38 | 0.1 | 261 |
| BE3_P1 | -2.93 | -2.90 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 261 |
| BE3_P2 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 261 |

Table 9-2. Time instability of the $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}$-values obtained for the two GNSS receivers at BEV with reference to receiver PTBT
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## 10. OPERATION OF PTBT AT PTB: SECOND PERIOD

The period 57575 to 57581 (7 days) was chosen to determine PTBT INT DLY values during the common clock period CC2. The result of comparison with PT02 as the reference are shown in Figure 10-1 illustrating in total $611 \Delta \mathrm{Pi}$ (see eq. 3) values obtained as mean over all common view observations at a given epoch. The time instability (TDEV) plots for the two data sets follow as Figure 10-2. On first sight there seems little difference between the two data sets collected at CC1 and CC2. There is, however a 1.1-ns step in $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$ between the two periods which is discussed further in the following Summary section.


Figure 10-1. $\Delta$ Pi values obtained during the second common-clock set-up in PTB.


Figure 10-2. TDEV obtained for the two data sets shown in, $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$ left, $\Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ right.

### 10.1. SUMMARY

The numerical result of the two common-clock campaigns at PTB are given in Table 10-1. The largest change noted between CC1 and CC2 amounts to 1.1 ns for $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$. Translated to the

Project :
expected P 3 time differences, the $\Delta \mathrm{P} 3$ change amounts to 2.98 ns , and this is clearly visible in the common-clock, common view time differences shown in Figure 10-3. Here we note a large $\Delta T$-step for PTBT - PT02, and a somewhat smaller step in PTBT - PT07. This can be explained by a delay variation in PT02 during the 5 -months campaign, that is apparent in the comparisons of PT02 with PT03 and PT07, respectively, shown in addition in Figure 10-3. For the evaluation of the delays of the visited receivers the mean values for $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1, \Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ are used. The estimate of the uncertainty contribution is given in Section 11. after Table 11-1.

Table 10-1: Result of common clock measurements at PTB

| Quantity | Median (ns) | Sigma (ns) | TDEV (ns) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$ (CC1) | -1.9 | 0.46 | 0.1 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ (CC1) | -1.4 | 0.52 | $<0.1$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 3$ (CC1) | -2.67 |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$ (CC2) | -0.8 | 0.41 | 0.2 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ (CC2) | -1.2 | 0.41 | 0.1 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 3(\mathrm{CC} 2)$ | 0.18 |  |  |
| Mean value, used for the evaluation of the calibration campaign results |  |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 1$ | -1.35 |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{P} 2$ | -1.3 |  |  |



Figure 10-3. Common-clock common-view comparison spanning 5 months, daily mean values
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## 11. INT DLY UNCERTAINTY ESTIMATION

The overall uncertainty of the INT DLY values obtained as a result of the calibration is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{CAL}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the statistical uncertainty $u_{a}$ and the systematic uncertainty $u_{b}$. The statistical uncertainty is related to the instability of the common clock data collected at each site and collected at PTB when the INT DLY of PTBT was determined. The systematic uncertainty is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}}=\sqrt{\sum_{n} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contributions to the sum (7) are listed and explained subsequently. In the table, extra lines ( $a, b, c, .$. ) are introduced for the different receivers calibrated at the various sites, and sites are distinguished by colours. Note that the uncertainty of the INT DLY values of PTB's fixed receiver PTBB ( $G$ ) which served as the reference is not included.

Table 11-1: Uncertainty contributions for the calibration of receiver delays

|  | Uncertainty | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { P1 (ns) } \end{aligned}$ | Value P2 (ns) P2 (ns) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { P1-P2 } \\ & \text { (ns) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { P3 (ns) } \end{aligned}$ | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $u_{a}$ (PTB) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.22 | 0.40 | CC measurement uncertainty at PTB, TDEV at $\tau=10^{4} \mathrm{~s}$, max. of the two CC campaigns |
| 2a | $u_{a}($ DLR $)$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.26 | CC measurement uncertainty at DLR, receiver OBET |
| 2b | $u_{a}($ DLR $)$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.26 | CC measurement uncertainty at DLR, receiver UTC1 |
| 2c | $u_{a}($ DLR $)$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.26 | CC measurement uncertainty at DLR, receiver UTC2 |
| 2d | $u_{a}$ (DLR) | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.26 | CC measurement uncertainty at DLR, receiver UTC3 |
| 2e | $u_{a}($ DLR $)$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.26 | CC measurement uncertainty at DLR, receiver UTC4 |
| 2 f | $u_{a}($ METAS $)$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.24 | CC measurement uncertainty at METAS, receiver CH 00 |
| 2 g | $u_{a}$ (METAS) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.24 | CC measurement uncertainty at METAS, receiver $\mathrm{CHO1}$ |
| 2h | $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{VSL})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.24 | CC measurement uncertainty at VSL, receiver VSLF |
| 2 i | $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{VSL})$ | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.30 | CC measurement uncertainty at VSL, receiver VSLG |
| 2k | $u_{a}(B E V)$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.24 | CC measurement uncertainty at BEV, receiver BE1_ |
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|  | Uncertainty | Value <br> P1 (ns) | Value <br> P2 (ns) | Value <br> P1-P2 <br> (ns) | Value <br> P3 (ns) | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |

Note: * CAB DLY measurements made by cable / receiver manufacturer, uncertainty unknown ** see end of Section 6.

The uncertainty contribution $u_{b, 1}$ is based on the difference between the two common clock campaigns in the following way. As the cause is not understood, and the values for P1 and P2
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are quite different, a common cause (that would make the difference P1-P2 insensitive to the effect) should be excluded. We use the standard deviation of the two values around the mean value as measure for the uncertainty and treat them as statistically independent contributions.
For the generation of the CGGTTS data the PTBT antenna position is manually entered into the processing software in ITRF coordinates before the CCD measurements. These positions could in principle differ from the "true" positions in a different way in each laboratory. This is taken into account by the contributions $u_{\mathrm{b}, 11}$ and $u_{\mathrm{b}, 12}$. In the current campaign it was confirmed that the antenna coordinates were determined for all masts involved consistently and the contribution is 0.1 ns at maximum. At VSL, established antenna coordinates are combined with a new set for PTBT, therefore the contribution is slightly larger. As a mater of fact, a position error in general could even affect the P1 and P2 delays in a slightly different way, if the distinction between Antenna Reference Point (ARP) and Antenna Phase Centre (APC) is not accurately made. It has been reported that the difference between the two quantities is different for each antenna type but in addition also for the two frequencies received. To be on the safe side, $u_{b, 11}$ and $u_{b, 12}$ are very conservatively estimated. For other entries, where a frequency dependence can be safely excluded, the entry for P1-P2 is set to zero.

An uncertainty contribution due to potential multipath disturbance is added as $u_{b, 13}$ and $u_{b, 14}$. If at a given epoch in time the recorded time differences REFSYS would be biased by multipath, this might change with time due to the change in the satellite constellation geometry. [RD05] gives an estimate that has often been referred to. In deviation thereof, for the current campaign the following approach was used: Three days of PTBT REFSYS data (column REFGPS in PTBT CGGTTS files from MJD 57486 to 57488 ) were averaged with three different elevation masks: $10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}$ and $35^{\circ}$. The results are shown in Table 11-2. The largest difference occurs between the two entries in bold face. The conditions for the installation of the GPS-antennae at all sites are likely more favourable than in PTB (see Figure 4-4), so that the same uncertainty contribution ( $0,1 \mathrm{~ns}$ for P 1 and P 2 ) for each site is considered as an upper limit. On the other hand, the type of study made may not give the complete truth on the effect of multipath. Here institutes are encouraged to undertake further studies and publish their findings.

Table 11-2: Elevation dependence of GPS P3 time differences (REFYS) with PTBT at PTB

| Day | REFSYS <br> $>10^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {obs }}$ | Sigma <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | REFSYS <br> $>20^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {obs }}$ | Sigma <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | REFSYS <br> $>35^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {obs }}$ | Sigma <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 57486 | -2.80 | 681 | 2.42 | -2.75 | 602 | 2.34 | -2.75 | 386 | 2.24 |
| 57487 | -3.22 | 681 | 2.27 | -3.10 | 602 | 2.15 | -3.03 | 386 | 1.87 |
| 57488 | -2.56 | 693 | 2.16 | -2.58 | 610 | 2.10 | -2.65 | 390 | 1.83 |

The uncertainties of the connection of the receivers to the local time scales ( $u_{\mathrm{b}, 21}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 22}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 23}$ ) are equal but of different origin. As the same counter is employed for the PTBT REF DLY measurements at all sites, the counter's internal measurement uncertainty for time interval need not be considered. $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 21}$ was estimated by PTB: The cable connecting UTC(PTB) to PTBT is repeatedly controlled and has been used in many calibration exercises. The visited institutes were requested to state the uncertainty of their connection of PTBT and their own receivers to their local time scale, and this is reflected in $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 22}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 23}$. The value 0.2 ns has been included in Table 11-1 which is less favourable than stated in some cases. But this value seems justified, noting the spread of values obtained for the measurement of a nominally 266.5 ns cable delay performed as part of the campaign at the visited institutes.
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The uncertainty contributions $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 24}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 25}$ are related to imperfections in the TIC in conjunction with the relationship between the zero-crossings of the external reference frequency and the 1 PPS signals. This "nonlinearity" is probably caused by the internal interpolation process. By connecting the travelling TIC successively to 5 MHz and 10 MHz generated by different clocks (masers, commercial caesium clocks), respectively, the effect was estimated to be at most 0.1 ns if 1 PPS signals with a slew rate of approximately $0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{ns}$ are used.

The measurement of antenna cable delays is usually done with an uncertainty of 0.5 ns . There is no contribution from the PTBT antenna cable as the same cable and the same value for CAB DLY in the CGGTTS files was used throughout the campaign. Even if this delay was determined with an error, the calibration result is unaffected. The term $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 31}$ was requested from the institutes. Note that this uncertainty contribution a priori has no impact on the uncertainty of the time transfer link between PTB and the visited institutes. If the started CAB DLY is erroneous this is compensated in the INT DLY values produced as a result of the campaign.

## 12. FINAL RESULTS

The results of the calibration campaign G1G2_1012_2016 are summarized in Table 12-1. It contains the designation of visited receiver, the INT DLY values hitherto used, the offsets $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T})$ and $\Delta \mathrm{Pi}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{G})$ (see Section 5, (5)), the new INT DLY values to be used with consent by BIPM, and the uncertainty with which the new values were determined. In case of VSL, the lines in red contain the values hitherto used and the results of initial calculations, the lines in black contain the valid result agreed between VSL and BIPM. For calculation the respective entries from Table 11-1, individually for P1, P2, and combined for P3, were used.

Table 12-1. Results of the Calibration Campaign G1G2_1012_2016, all values in ns

| Receiver | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INT } \\ & \text { DLY(P1), } \\ & \text { old } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INT } \\ & \text { DLY(P2); } \\ & \text { old } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{P} 1 \\ & (\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{P} 2 \\ & (\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{P} 1 \\ & (\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{G}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta(\mathrm{P} 2) \\ & (\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{G}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INT } \\ & \text { DLY(P1), } \\ & \text { new } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{u}_{\text {cal }}, \\ & \mathrm{P} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INT } \\ & \text { DLY(P2), } \\ & \text { new } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {cal }}$, P2 | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {cal }}$, P3 | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {cal }}$, P3 <br> without <br> $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 31}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DLR, } \\ & \text { OBET } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 59.15 | 57.41 | -1.35 | -1.30 | 57.80 | 1.8 | 56.11 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| DLR, UTC1 | 0 | 0 | 202.92 | 202.02 | -1.35 | -1.30 | 201.57 | 1.8 | 200.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| DLR, UTC2 | 0 | 0 | 206.71 | 199.07 | -1.35 | -1.30 | 205.56 | 1.8 | 197.77 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| DLR, <br> UTC3** | 0 | 0 | 209.5 | 199.43 | -1.35 | -1.30 | 208.15 | 2.5 | 198.13 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| DLR, UTC4 | 0 | 0 | 59.64 | 57.98 | -1.35 | -1.30 | 58.29 | 1.8 | 56.68 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 |


| Project : | EURAMET_PTB_G1G2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Code: | $1012 \_2016$ |
| Date: | $03 / 10 / 2016$ |
| Version: | 1.2 |
| Page. | 40 of 42 |


| Receiver | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INT } \\ & \text { DLY(P1), } \\ & \text { old } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { INT } \\ \text { DLY(P2); } \\ \text { old } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{P} 1 \\ & (\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{P} 2 \\ & (\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~T}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \mathrm{P} 1 \\ & (\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{G}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta(\mathrm{P} 2) \\ & (\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{G}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INT } \\ & \text { DLY(P1), } \\ & \text { new } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{\text {cal }}, \\ & \text { P1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INT } \\ & \text { DLY(P2), } \\ & \text { new } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {call }}$, P2 | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {cal }}$, P3 | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {cal }}$, P3 <br> without <br> $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 31}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { METAS, } \\ & \text { CHOO } \end{aligned}$ | 55.6 | 59.4 | -3.54 | -4.79 | -1.35 | -1.3 | 50.71 | 1.0 | 53.31 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| METAS, <br> CHO1 | 297.4 | 315.2 | 2.81 | 1.69 | -1.35 | . 1.30 | 298.86 | 1.0 | 315.59 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| VSL, VSLF | $\begin{aligned} & -114.5 \\ & 38.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-114.5 \\ 38.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.17 \\ & 15.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.65 \\ & 23.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.35 \\ & -1.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1.30 \\ & -1.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-100.68 \\ & 52.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -92.15 \\ & 61.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 2.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| VSL, VSLG | $\begin{aligned} & -56.2 \\ & -53.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-56.2 \\ -53.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3.85 \\ 3.85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.62 \\ & 4.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.35 \\ & -1.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1.30 \\ & -1.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-53.7 \\ & -51.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-52.88 \\ & -50.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 2.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| BEV, BE1_ | -19.25 | -19.01 | -5.15 | -7.68 | -1.35 | -1.30 | -25.75 | 1.0 | -27.99 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| BEV, BE3_ | -33.4 | -35.5 | -2.90 | 0.23 | -1.35 | -1.30 | -37.65 | 1.1 | -36.57 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 |

Note: ** see end of Section 6.
It is obvious that all uncertainty values are dominated by the contribution due to the mis-closure obtained between CC1 and CC2 at PTB, (entry $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}, 1}$ ) in Table 11-1.

Project :
EURAMET PTB G1G2
Code:
10122016
Date:
03/10/2016
Version:
1.2
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## ANNEX: BIPM CALIBRATION INFORMATION SHEETS

## First common clock measurement at PTB

| Laboratory: |  | PTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: |  | 2016-04-04 0:00 UTC (MJD 57482) |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: |  | 2016-04-10 24:00 UTC (MJD 57488) |
| Information on the system |  |  |
|  | Local: | Travelling: |
| 4-character BIPM code | PTBB | PTBT |
| Receiver maker and type: Receiver serial number: | ASHTECH Z-XII3T (S/N RT820013901) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dicom GTR50 } \\ & 0708522 \text { 1.7.4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | 1 V | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: Phase stabilised cable ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ): | Nokia RG214 | Times Microwave Systems LMR-400-UF <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | approx. 25m | 25 |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna serial number: | Ashtech ASH700936 SNOW (S/N CR15930) | Navexperience 3G+C NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

Measured delays / ns

|  | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delay from local UTC to receiver <br> 1 PPS-in (XP) / ns | $20.1 \pm 0.1\left(^{*}\right)$ | $62.2 \pm 0.1(* *)$ |
| Delay from 1 PPS-in to internal <br> Reference (if different): $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{O}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $38.0 \pm 0.1$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Antenna cable delay: $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 301.7 | $266.5 \pm 0.1\left({ }^{* *)}\right.$ |
| Splitter delay (if any): | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Additional cable delay (if any): | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |

Data used for the generation of CGGTTS files

|  | LOCAL: |  | Travelling |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) (GPS) /ns: | 303.9 (P1), 319.3 (P2) |  | -42.6 (P1) -49.1 (P2) |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GLONASS) /ns: |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ CAB DLY (or $\mathrm{XC}_{\text {c }}$ / ns : | 301.7 |  | 266.5 |  |
| $\square$ REF DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) /ns: | 74.0 (*) |  | 62.2 |  |
| $\square$ Coordinates reference frame: | ITRF (*) |  | ITRF (***) |  |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{m}$ : | +3844059.89 (*) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mast } \\ & \text { P10 } \end{aligned}$ | +3844057.71 | Mast |
| $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{m}$ : | +709661.48 (*) |  | +709663.27 (***) |  |
| Z /m | +5023129.73 (*) |  | $\begin{aligned} & +5023131.14 \\ & (* * *) \end{aligned}$ |  |
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## General information

| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: | 3 ns |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Is the laboratory a ir conditioned: | yes |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: |  |

Notes:
(*) values provided by BIPM as part of coordinate alignment 2014 and G1 calibration Cal_Id=1001-2014
(**) Use of the same counter and receiver throughout the campaign suppresses major systematic uncertainty contributions
(***) INT DLY adjusted after publication of results Ca_Id=1001-2014, coordinates interpolated from BIPM results for neighbouring masts

Names of files to be used in processing for site PTB CC1
Local receiver: GZPT02MJ.DDD
Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD
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Code:
1012_2016
03/10/2016
Date:

## Site 1: PTBT at DLR I KN - Receiver UTC1

| Laboratory: | DLR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: | 19.04.2016 15:00 UTC |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: | 24.04 .2016 24:00 UTC |


| I nformation on the system | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | UTC1 | PTBT |
| 4-character BIPM code | Septentrio PolaRx2TR |  |
| Receiver maker and type: <br> Receiver serial number: | 1209 | Dicom GTR50 |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | 1 | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: <br> Phase stabilised cable (Y/N): | SSB ECOFLEX 15 (N) | Times Microwave Systems LMR- <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | 15 | 15 |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna | Novatel GNSS750 | Navexperience 3G+C |
| Serial number: | NMBJ 13490005V | NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | no |  |

Measured delays / ns


## General information

| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: | 3 ns |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Is the laboratory air conditioned: | yes |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: | $(21.4+/-0.2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

Notes:

| Project : | EURAMET_PTB_G1G2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Code: | 1012 _2016 |
| Date: | $03 / 10 / 2016$ |
| Version: | 1.2 |
| Page: | 44 of 42 |

**"Für beide Empfänger habe ich die gleiche Messmethode mit TIC verwendet und habe für das Kabel Antenne -> Splitter sqrt( $\left.1.5^{\wedge} 2+0.2^{\wedge} 2\right)=1,6 n s$ und für das Kabel Splitter -> Empfänger OBET / UTC4 jeweils 0.1 ns „, E-Mail 23.06.2016, J. Furthner

Names of files to be used in processing (for site DLR): Local receiver: UTC1DOY0.YYo, Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD
Comments: ^
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## Site 1: PTBT at DLR I KN - Receiver UTC2

| Laboratory: | DLR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: | 19.04.2016 15:00 UTC |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: | 24.04 .2016 24:00 UTC |


| I nformation on the system | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | UTC2 | PTBT |
| 4-character BIPM code | Septentrio PolaRx2TR |  |
| Receiver maker and type: <br> Receiver serial number: | 1271 | Dicom GTR50 |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | 1 | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: <br> Phase stabilised cable (Y/N): | SSB ECOFLEX 15 (N) | Times Microwave Systems LMR- <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | 15 | 15 |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna | Novatel GNSS750 | Navexperience 3G+C |
| serial number: | NMBJ 13490005V | NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | no |  |

Measured delays / ns

|  | Local: |  |  |  | Travelling: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay from local UTC to receiver PPS-in ( $X_{P}$ ) / ns | 12.1 |  |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Delay from 1 PPS-in to internal Reference (if different): $\left(\mathrm{X}_{0}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 232.7 |  |  |  | N/A |  |
| Antenna cable delay: $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 510.7 |  |  |  | 266.5 |  |
| Splitter delay (if any) / ns: | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional cable delay (if any): | 12.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data used for the generation of CGGTTS files |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | LOCAL: |  |  | Travelling |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GPS) /ns: |  | (P1) (P2) |  |  | -42.6 (P1) -49.1 (P2) |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GLONASS) /ns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ CAB DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) /ns: |  | $525.8(510.7+3+12.1)$ |  |  | 266.5 |  |
| $\square$ REF DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) /ns: |  | 244.9 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| $\square$ Coordinates reference frame: |  | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  |  | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{m}$ : |  | + 4186708.561 |  | DLR2 | $\begin{array}{\|l} +4186708.236 \\ \hline+834904.557 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | DLR1 |
| $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{m}$ : |  | +834902.584 |  |  |  |  |
| Z /m |  | + 472 | 67.310 |  | + 4723667.244 |  |
| General information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: |  |  | 3 ns |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Is the laboratory air conditioned: |  |  | yes |  |  |  |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: |  |  | $(21.4+/-0.2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |

Names of files to be used in processing (for site DLR): Local receiver: UTC2DOY0.YYo, Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD.
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Date:
Version:
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## Site 1: PTBT at DLR I KN - Receiver UTC3

| Laboratory: | DLR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: | 19.04.2016 15:00 UTC |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: | 24.04 .2016 24:00 UTC |


| I nformation on the system | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | UTC3 | PTBT |
| 4-character BIPM code | Septentrio PolaRx2TR |  |
| Receiver maker and type: | 2128 | Dicom GTR50 |
| Receiver serial number: | 1 | 1 |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | SSB ECOFLEX 15 (N) | Times Microwave Systems LMR- <br> (N) |
| Antenna cable maker and type: <br> Phase stabilised cable (Y/N): |  | 15 |
| Length outside the building /m: | 15 | Navexperience 3G+C |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna | Novatel GNSS750 | NA0164 |
| serial number: | NMBJ 13490005V |  |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | no |  |

Measured delays / ns

|  | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delay from local UTC to receiver <br> PPS-in $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 8.2 | 19.9 |
| Delay from 1 PPS-in to internal <br> Reference (if different): $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{O}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 232.7 | N/A |
| Antenna cable delay: $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 510.7 | 266.5 |
| Splitter delay (if any): / ns | 3.0 |  |
| Additional cable delay (if any): / ns | 12.2 |  |

Data used for the generation of CGGTTS files

|  | LOCAL: |  | Travelling |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{S}$ ) (GPS) / ns: | (P1) (P2) |  | -42.6 (P1) -49.1 (P2) |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GLONASS) /ns: |  |  |  |  |
| $\square \mathrm{CAB} \mathrm{DLY}$ ( or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) /ns: | 525.9 (510.7+3+12.2) |  | 266.5 |  |
| $\square$ REF DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) /ns: | 242.7 |  | 19.9 |  |
| $\square$ Coordinates reference frame: | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{m}$ : | + 4186708.561 | DLR2 | + 4186708.236 | DLR1 |
| $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{m}$ : | +834902.584 |  | + 834904.557 |  |
| Z /m | + 4723667.310 |  | + 4723667.244 |  |

General information

| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: | 3 ns |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Is the laboratory air conditioned: | yes |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: | $(21.4+/-0.2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

Names of files to be used in processing (for site DLR): Local receiver: UTC3DOYO.YYo, tTravelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD; inconsistency noted after the fact.
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## Site 1: PTBT at DLR I KN - Receiver UTC4

| Laboratory: | DLR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: | 19.04.2016 15:00 UTC |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: | 24.04 .2016 24:00 UTC |


| I nformation on the system | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | UTC4 | PTBT |
| 4-character BIPM code | Septentrio PolaRx4TR Pro |  |
| Receiver maker and type: <br> Receiver serial number: | 3001354 | Dicom GTR50 |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | 1 | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: <br> Phase stabilised cable (Y/N): | SSB ECOFLEX 15 (N) | Times Microwave Systems LMR- <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | 15 | 15 |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna | Novatel GNSS750 | Navexperience 3G+C |
| serial number: | NMBJ 13490005V | NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | no |  |

Measured delays / ns

|  | Local: |  |  |  | Travelling: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay from local UTC to receiver PPS-in ( $X_{p}$ )/ns | 8.3 |  |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| Delay from 1 PPS-in to internal Reference (if different): $\left(\mathrm{X}_{0}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 131.4 |  |  |  | N/A |  |
| Antenna cable delay: $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 510.7 |  |  |  | 266.5 |  |
| Splitter delay (if any): / ns | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional cable delay (if any) : / ns | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data used for the generation of CGGTTS files |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | LOCAL: |  |  | Travelling |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GPS) /ns: |  | (P1) (P2) |  |  | -42.6 ns (P1) -49.1 ns (P2) |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GLONASS) /ns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ CAB DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) /ns: |  | 525.9 | $(510.7+3+12.2)$ |  | 266.5 |  |
| $\square$ REF DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) /ns: |  | 139.7 ns |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| $\square$ Coordinates reference frame: |  | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  |  | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{m}$ : |  | + 4186708.561 |  | DLR2 | + 4186708.236 | DLR1 |
| $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{m}$ : |  | +834902.584 |  |  | + 834904.557 |  |
| Z /m |  | + 4723667.310 |  |  | + 4723667.244 |  |
| General information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: |  |  | 3 ns |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Is the laboratory air conditioned: |  |  | yes |  |  |  |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: |  |  | $(21.4+/-0.2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |

Names of files to be used in processing (for site DLR): Local receiver: UTC4DOY0.YYo, Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD.
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## Site 1: PTBT at DLR IKN - Receiver OBET

| Laboratory: | DLR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: | 19.04.2016 15:00 UTC |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: | 24.04 .2016 24:00 UTC |


| Information on the system |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local: | Travelling: |
| 4-character BIPM code | OBET | PTBT |
| Receiver maker and type: Receiver serial number: | Septentrio PolaRx4TR Pro 3007660 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dicom GTR50 } \\ & 0708522 \text { 1.7.4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | 1 | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: Phase stabilised cable ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ): | SSB ECOFLEX 15 (N) | Times Microwave Systems LMR-400-UF <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | 15 | 15 |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna serial number: | Novatel GNSS750 <br> NMBJ 13490005V | Navexperience 3G+C NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | no |  |

Measured delays / ns

|  | Local: |  |  |  | Travelling: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delay from local UTC to receiver PPS-in ( $X_{P}$ ) / ns | 12.5 ns |  |  |  | 19.9 ns |  |
| Delay from 1 PPS-in to internal Reference (if different) ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{O}}$ ) / ns | 148.1 |  |  |  | N/A |  |
| Antenna cable delay ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) / ns | 510.7 |  |  |  | 266.5 |  |
| Splitter delay (if any) / ns | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional cable delay (if any) / ns | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data used for the generation of CGGTTS files |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | LOCAL: |  |  | Travelling |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\text {S }}$ ) (GPS) /ns: |  | (P1) (P2) |  |  | -42.6 (P1) -49.1 (P2) |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GLONASS) /ns: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ CAB DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) /ns: |  | $521.8 \quad(510.7+3+8.1)$ |  |  | 266.5 |  |
| $\square$ REF DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) /ns: |  | 160.6 |  |  | 19.9 |  |
| $\square$ Coordinates reference frame: |  | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  |  | ITRF05 (2016.30 (years)) |  |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{m}$ : |  | + 4186708.561 |  | DLR2 | + 4186708.23 | DLR1 |
| $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{m}$ : |  | + 834902.584 |  |  | + 834904.557 |  |
| Z /m |  | + 4723667.310 |  |  | + 4723667.244 |  |
| General information |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: |  |  | 3 ns |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Is the laboratory air conditioned: |  |  | yes |  |  |  |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: |  |  | $(21.4+/-0.2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |

Names of files to be used in processing (for site DLR): Local receiver: OBETDOY0.YYo, Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD.
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## Site 2: PTBT at METAS

| Laboratory: | METAS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: | 2016-05-14 UTC 0000 |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: | $2016-05-21$ UTC 24:00 |


| I nformation on the system | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | CH00 | PTBT |
| 4-character BIPM code | Septentrio PolaRx3eTR |  |
| Receiver maker and type: | 2001065 | Dicom GTR50 |
| Receiver serial number: | Not specified by Septentrio | 1 |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | Andrews FSJ 1-50A | Times Microwave Systems LMR- <br> (N) |
| Antenna cable maker and type: <br> Phase stabilised cable (Y/N): | $Y$ | 10 m |
| Length outside the building /m: | 10 m | Navexperience 3G+C |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna | Ashtech type 700936 (D) | NA0164 |
| serial number: | CR14349 |  |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

Measured delays / ns


Names of files to be used in processing (for site METAS):
Local receiver: GZCH00MJ.DDD, travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD
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## Site 2: PTBT at METAS

| Laboratory: | METAS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: | 2016-05-14 UTC 00:00 |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: | $2016-05-21$ UTC 24:00 |


| I nformation on the system | Local: | Travelling: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | CH01 | PTBT |
| 4-character BIPM code | Ashtech Z12T | Dicom GTR50 |
| Receiver maker and type: | RT919993201 | 0708522 1.7.4 |
| Receiver serial number: | Not specified by Ashtech | 1 |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | Andrews FSJ 1-50A | Times Microwave Systems LMR- <br> (N) |
| Antenna cable maker and type: <br> Phase stabilised cable (Y/N): | Y | 400-UF |
| Length outside the building /m: | 10 m | Navexperience 3G+C |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna | Ashtech type 700936 (F) | CR1998390144 |
| serial number: |  |  |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

Measured delays / ns


Names of files to be used in processing (for site METAS):
Local receiver: GZCH01MJ.DDD, travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD
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## Site 3: PTBT at VSL

| Laboratory: |  | VSL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: |  | 02-06-2016 18:00 UTC |  |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: |  | 07-06-2016 12:00 UTC |  |
| Information on the system |  |  |  |
|  | Local: |  | Travelling: |
| 4-character BIPM code | VSLF |  | PTBT |
| Receiver maker and type: <br> Receiver serial number: | Septentrio P 3001395 | $R \times 4 T R$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dicom GTR50 } \\ & 0708522 \text { 1.7.4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | ? |  | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: Phase stabilised cable (Y/N): | SSB Electron Aircom Plus | GmbH, | Times Microwave Systems LMR-400-UF <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | 10 m |  | 8 m |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna serial number: | Topcon TPS 383-1235 | G3 (TPSH) | Navexperience 3G+C NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | N/A |  | N/A |

Measured delays / ns


Names of files to be used in processing (for site VSL): Local receiver: GZVS06MJ.DDD, travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD

| Project : | EURAMET_PTB_G1G2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Code: | 1012 _2016 |
| Date: | $03 / 10 / 2016$ |
| Version: | 1.2 |
| Page: | 52 of 42 |

## Comments:

Coordinates of the PTBT antenna have been initially estimated from the position of the antenna in the 2012 calibration:
The position then was
$X=3924689.031 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Y}=301145.681 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Z}=5001909.071 \mathrm{~m}$
With a height correction of 20 cm for the additional extender, the position is:
$X=3924689.154 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Y}=301145.691 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Z}=5001909.228 \mathrm{~m}$.

From the NRCAN PPP analysis of the data from 4 June and 6 June 2016, the actual coordinates of the PTBT antenna were derived:
$X=3924688.99 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Y}=301145.74 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Z}=5001909.12 \mathrm{~m}$.
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Names of files to be used in processing: Local receiver: VS07MJ DDD.gps, travelling receiver: GZPTBTMJ.DDD, previous comments apply regarding PTBT coordinates.
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## Site 4: PTBT at BEV

| Laboratory: |  | BEV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: |  | 2016-06-17 14:26 UTC (MJD 57556) |  |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: |  | 2016-06-22 08:50 UTC (MJD 57561) |  |
| I nformation on the system |  |  |  |
|  | Local: |  | Travelling: |
| 4-character BIPM code | BE1 |  | PTBT |
| Receiver maker and type: Receiver serial number: | Piktime TTS-4 0128 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dicom GTR50 } \\ & 0708522 \text { 1.7.4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | 1 |  | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: Phase stabilised cable ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ): | RG-214 (N) |  | Times Microwave Systems LMR- <br> 400-UF <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | ca. 5 |  | ca. 30 |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna serial number: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { avad RingAnt-G3T } \\ & 453 \end{aligned}$ |  | Navexperience 3G+C NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |
| Measured delays/ns |  |  |  |
|  | Local: |  | Travelling: |
| Delay from local UTC to receiver PPS-in ( $X_{P}$ ) / ns | 15.4 |  | 44.2 |
| Delay from 1 PPS-in to internal Reference (if different) ( $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) / ns |  |  | N/A |
| Antenna cable delay ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) / ns | 404 |  | 266.5 |
| Splitter delay (if any) / ns |  |  |  |
| Additional cable delay (if any) / ns |  |  |  |

Data used for the generation of CGGTTS files

|  | LOCAL: | Travelling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) (GPS) /ns: | $\begin{array}{ll} -20.2(\mathrm{LC} 1) & -20.45(\mathrm{LC} 2) \\ -19.25(\mathrm{LP} 1) & -19.01(\mathrm{LP} 2) \end{array}$ | -42.6 (P1) -49.1 (P2) |
| $\square \mathrm{INT}$ DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GLONASS) /ns: | $\begin{aligned} & -224.95 \text { (LC1) }-259.95 \text { (LC2) } \\ & -224.97 \text { (LP2) }-257.67 \text { (LP2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\square$ CAB DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) /ns: | 404 | 266.5 |
| $\square$ REF DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) /ns: | 15.4 | 44.2 |
| $\square$ Coordinates reference frame: | ITRF | ITRF |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{m}$ : | + 4087027.30 | +4087027.14 |
| $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{m}$ : | + 1196557.37 | +1196560.85 |
| Z /m | + 4732637.05 | +4732635.89 |
| General information |  |  |
| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: | 1.8 ns |  |

Project :

| $\square$ Is the laboratory air conditioned: | yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: | $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 0,5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

## UPDATED

Names of files to be used in processing (for site BEV) Local receiver: GZBE1NMJ.DDD, produced by PTB

Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD

- Comments: New REF DLY, new antenna coordinates
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Names of files to be used in processing (for site BEV)
Local receiver: GZBE3NMJ.DDD, produced by PTB
Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD

Comments: New coordinates
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## Second common clock measurement at PTB

| Laboratory: |  | PTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date and hour of the beginning of measurements: |  | 2016-07-06 0:00 UTC (MJD 57575) |
| Date and hour of the end of measurements: |  | 2016-07-12 24:00 UTC (MJD 57581) |
| I nformation on the system |  |  |
|  | Local: | Travelling: |
| 4-character BIPM code | PTBB | PTBT |
| Receiver maker and type: Receiver serial number: | ASHTECH Z-XII3T (S/N RT820013901) | Dicom GTR50 0708522 1.7.4 |
| 1 PPS trigger level /V: | 1 V | 1 |
| Antenna cable maker and type: Phase stabilised cable ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ): | Nokia RG214 | Times Microwave Systems LMR-400-UF <br> (N) |
| Length outside the building /m: | approx. 25m | 25 |
| Antenna maker and type: Antenna serial number: | Ashtech ASH700936 SNOW (S/N CR15930) | Navexperience 3G+C NA0164 |
| Temperature (if stabilised) $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |


| Measured delays / ns |  | Local: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delay from local UTC to receiver <br> 1 PPS-in (XP) 7 ns | $20.1 \pm 0.1\left(^{*}\right)$ | $47.2 \pm 0.1(* *)$ |
| Delay from 1 PPS-in to internal <br> Reference (if different): $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{O}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | $38.0 \pm 0.1$ | N/A |
| Antenna cable delay: $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) / \mathrm{ns}$ | 301.7 | $266.5 \pm 0.1\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ |
| Splitter delay (if any): | N/A |  |
| Additional cable delay (if any): | N/A |  |

## Data used for the generation of CGGTTS files

|  | LOCAL: |  | Travelling |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GPS) /ns: | 303.9 (P1), 319.3 (P2) |  | -42.6 (P1) -49.1 (P2) |  |
| $\square$ INT DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) (GLONASS) /ns: |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ CAB DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) /ns: | 301.7 |  | 266.5 |  |
| $\square$ REF DLY (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{X}_{0}$ ) /ns: | 74.0 (*) |  | 47.2 |  |
| $\square$ Coordinates reference frame: | ITRF (*) |  | ITRF (***) |  |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{m}$ : | +3844059.89 (*) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mast } \\ & \text { P10 } \end{aligned}$ | +3844056.64 | MastP13 |
| $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{m}$ : | +709661.48 (*) |  | +709664.25 (***) |  |
| Z /m | +5023129.73 (*) |  | $\begin{aligned} & +5023131.88 \\ & (* * *) \end{aligned}$ |  |

## General information

| $\square$ Rise time of the local UTC pulse: | 3 ns |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Is the laboratory air conditioned: | yes |
| Set temperature value and uncertainty: |  |


| Project : | EURAMET_PTB_G1G2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Code: | 1012 _2016 |
| Date: | $03 / 10 / 2016$ |
| Version: | 1.2 |
| Page: | 59 of 42 |

## Notes

$\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ values provided by BIPM as part of coordinate alignment 2014 and G1 calibration Cal_Id=1001-2014
(**) Use of the same counter and receiver throughout the campaign suppresses major systematic uncertainty contributions
$(* * *)$ INT DLY adjusted after publication of results Ca_Id=1001-2014, coordinates interpolated from BIPM results for neighbouring masts

Names of files to be used in processing for site PTB CC2
Local receiver: GZPT02MJ .DDD
Travelling receiver GZPTBTMJ.DDD
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