

Evaluation of PTB primary caesium fountain frequency standard CSF1 between MJD 58479 - MJD 58514

PTB's primary caesium fountain frequency standard CSF1 was operated between MJD 58479, 0:00 UTC and MJD 58514, 0:00 UTC. Frequency comparisons were made with respect to PTB hydrogen maser H9, BIPM code 1400509.

The relative frequency instability of the relative frequency differences $y(\text{CSF1-H9})$ was $10.2 \times 10^{-14} \cdot (\tau/\text{s})^{-1/2}$ during the 35 days. The actual measurement time amounts to 98.0% of the 35×24 hours. This results in a statistical uncertainty $u_A = 0.06 \times 10^{-15}$, assuming that white frequency noise is the dominant noise source.

For the uncertainty due to the clock link $u_{\text{Lab}} = 0.02 \times 10^{-15}$ is obtained by taking into account the actual measurement time. Finally, the estimated uncertainty for the link to TAI for 35 days is $u_{\text{TAI}} = 0.11 \times 10^{-15}$.

Frequency corrections for the following effects were applied to the raw data:

- Zeeman effect (magnetic field along the atoms' trajectory)
- black body effect (thermal radiation along the atoms' trajectory)
- relativistic redshift and relativistic Doppler effect
- cold collisions effect
- distributed cavity phase effect
- microwave lensing effect

The CSF1 standard uncertainty u_B is estimated as 2.7×10^{-16} (1σ) for the relevant period [1].

Table of results of CSF1 compared to hydrogen maser H9 (1400509)

Interval of evaluation	MJD 58479, 0:00 UTC – MJD 58514, 0:00 UTC
Fractional dead time	2.0%
Resulting frequency difference	$y(\text{CSF1} - \text{H9}) = -17.14 \times 10^{-15}$
Type A uncertainty u_A (1σ)	0.06×10^{-15}
Type B uncertainty u_B (1σ)	0.27×10^{-15}
Link to clock u_{Lab} (1σ)	0.02×10^{-15}
Link to TAI u_{TAI} (1σ)	0.11×10^{-15} (35 days)
Combined uncertainty (1σ)	0.30×10^{-15}

Type A (statistical) uncertainty of CSF1

For the microwave synthesis an optically stabilized microwave oscillator is utilized, which is locked to a hydrogen maser in the long-term [2]. The frequency instability $10.0 \times 10^{-14} (\tau/s)^{-1/2}$ of the measured relative frequency differences $y(\text{CSF1} - \text{Hmaser})$ is obtained for the combination of low and high density operation and gives the statistical measurement uncertainty u_A [1].

In total the optically stabilized microwave system was out of operation due to failure or maintenance during 2.8 hours (0.3%) of the 35 day TAI measurement interval.

Type B (systematic) uncertainty of CSF1

In the table below we report the type B uncertainty evaluation results valid for the evaluation at hand. Detailed descriptions of the systematic uncertainty contributions of CSF1 have been published elsewhere [1].

At the 26th CGPM in November 2018, TAI has been newly defined (Resolution 2). As a result the relativistic redshift of a clock contributing to TAI is to be computed with respect to the conventionally adopted equipotential $W_0 = 62\,636\,856.0 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$ of the Earth's gravity potential. The differentiation relating to the uncertainty of the relativistic redshift for the case of TAI contributions of the PTB fountain clocks in [1] is therefore no longer needed, so that a relativistic redshift uncertainty of 0.02×10^{-16} [1] is attributed now and in the future.

Frequency shifts, corrections and type B uncertainties of CSF1 (parts in 10¹⁶):

Frequency shift	Correction	Uncertainty
Quadratic Zeeman shift	- 1078.35	0.10
Blackbody radiation shift	165.84	0.80
Relativistic redshift and Doppler effect	- 85.56	0.02
Collisional shift	2.1	2.4
Distributed cavity phase shift	- 0.04	0.93
Microwave lensing	-0.4	0.2
AC Stark shift (light shift)		0.01
Rabi and Ramsey pulling		0.013
Microwave leakage		0.01
Electronics		0.1
Background gas collisions		0.4
Total type B uncertainty		2.7

References

[1] S. Weyers, V. Gerginov, M. Kazda, J. Rahm, B. Lipphardt, G. Dobrev and K. Gibble, Metrologia **55**, pp. 789–805 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1088/1681-7575/aae008>

[2] B. Lipphardt, V. Gerginov, S. Weyers, IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control **64**, pp. 761–766 (2017), <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7807353>