

## Frequency evaluation of Maser 1401103 by IT-Yb1 for the period MJD 59394 to 59419

During the period MJD 59394 – 59419 (29 June 2021–24 July 2021) INRIM evaluated the frequency of the hydrogen maser IT-HM3 (BIPM code 1401103) using the Yb optical lattice frequency standard IT-Yb1 and an optical frequency comb. The evaluation is based on the CCTF2017 recommended frequency for  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  as a secondary representation of the second,  $f(^{171}\text{Yb}) = 518\,295\,836\,590\,863.6\text{ Hz}$  with a relative standard uncertainty of  $u_{\text{Srep}} = 5 \times 10^{-16}$  [1, 2]. The results of the evaluation are summarized in Tab. 1. Details of IT-Yb1 operation and uncertainty budget are given in Refs. [3, 4] and summarized below.

### 1 Frequency measurement

The clock laser of IT-Yb1 is stabilized on an ultrastable cavity and probes  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  atoms trapped in an optical lattice at the magic frequency. A digital control loop acting on an acousto-optic modulator keeps the clock laser frequency in resonance with the atoms. The cavity-stabilized laser is sent to a fibre frequency comb referenced to IT-HM3. The frequency ratio between the  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  transition and IT-HM3 is calculated from the comb measurements and the corrections used for steering the acousto-optic modulator.

Table 1: Final evaluation using IT-Yb1.

Period of es- timation	$y(\text{HM1401103})$ /IT-Yb1)	$u_A$	$u_B$	$u_{A/\text{lab}}$	$u_{B/\text{lab}}$	$u_{\text{Srep}}$	Uptime
	/10 <sup>-16</sup>	/10 <sup>-16</sup>	/10 <sup>-16</sup>	/10 <sup>-16</sup>	/10 <sup>-16</sup>	/10 <sup>-16</sup>	
59394–59419	14.8	0.1	0.3	4.4	0.2	5	6%

Table 2: Uncertainty budget for IT-Yb1 for the reported period.

Effect	Rel. Shift/ $10^{-17}$	Rel. Unc./ $10^{-17}$
Density shift	-1.0	0.4
Lattice shift	5.2	1.6
Zeeman shift	-3.10	0.03
Blackbody radiation shift (room)	-232.6	0.9
Blackbody radiation shift (oven)	-1.2	0.6
Static Stark shift	-18	2
Probe light shift	0.04	0.03
Background gas shift	-0.5	0.2
Servo error	0.0	0.3
Other shifts	0.0	0.1
Grav. redshift (static)	2599.5	0.3
Grav. redshift (tides)	0	2
Total	2348.7	3.4

## 2 IT-Yb1 evaluation

The uncertainty  $u_A$  is the statistical contribution from the instability of IT-Yb1. The uncertainty  $u_B$  is the systematic uncertainty of IT-Yb1 [4]. The systematic frequency shift and uncertainty budget of IT-Yb1 for the reported period are given in Tab. 2. IT-Yb1 now operates with a vertical optical lattice and the lattice light shift calculations have been updated following Ref. [5]. The table includes the gravitational redshift relative to the conventional potential  $W_0 = 62\,636\,856.0\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$  [4]. Given the short operation of the clock we added a contribution to the gravitational redshift uncertainty coming from tides.

## 3 Link evaluation

The uncertainty  $u_{\text{lab}}$  is due to the link between IT-Yb1 and IT-HM3, including the optical to microwave comparison at the comb. Table 3 summarizes the contributions to this uncertainty.

The comparison uncertainty between optical and microwave signals at the comb has been evaluated from comparison with a second optical frequency comb.

IT-Yb1 and the comb were operated for 126 360 s (uptime 6% of the evaluation period). The data collected and the distribution of the uptimes of IT-Yb1 are shown in Fig. 1. Extrapolation using the maser as a flywheel is needed given the intermittent operation of IT-Yb1. Its evaluation is separated in an uncertainty from dead times and a correction for the maser drift. The maser drift of  $-5.9(2) \times 10^{-16}/\text{d}$  has been calculated from IT-Yb1 data collected between MJD 59384 and 59464. The contribution from dead times has been evaluated following the approach in Ref. [6]. For this measurement we

Table 3: Uncertainty budget for the link between IT-Yb1 and IT-HM3 for the reported period.

Effect	Uncertainty/ $10^{-16}$
Comb statistic	0.2
Extrapolation (dead time)	4.2
Extrapolation (drift)	1.3
Total $u_{A/\text{lab}}$	4.4
Optical/microwave comp. (type B)	0.2
Total $u_{B/\text{lab}}$	0.2

considered the IT-HM3 noise to be a power-law model described by the Allan deviation: white phase noise  $3 \times 10^{-13}(\tau/\text{s})^{-1}$ ; white frequency noise  $4 \times 10^{-14}(\tau/\text{s})^{-1/2}$ ; flicker frequency noise  $3 \times 10^{-16}$ ; random walk frequency noise  $2 \times 10^{-19}(\tau/\text{s})^{1/2}$ .

## Contributors

Marco Pizzocaro, Stefano Condio, Irene Goti, Cecilia Clivati, Matias Risaro, Filippo Levi, Davide Calonico

## References

- [1] Consultative Committee for Time and Frequency (CCTF), “Report of the 21st meeting (8-9 June 2017) to the International Committee for Weights and Measures,” 2017. Online: <https://www.bipm.org/utis/common/pdf/CC/CCTF/CCTF21.pdf>
- [2] Recommended values of standard frequencies for applications including the practical realization of the metre and secondary representations of the definition of the second. Online: <https://www.bipm.org/en/publications/mises-en-pratique/standard-frequencies.html>
- [3] M. Pizzocaro, P. Thoumany, B. Rauf, F. Bregolin, G. Milani, C. Clivati, G. A. Costanzo, F. Levi, and D. Calonico, “Absolute frequency measurement of the  $^1\text{S}_0 - ^3\text{P}_0$  transition of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$ ,” *Metrologia*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 102–112, 2017. Online: <http://stacks.iop.org/0026-1394/54/i=1/a=102>
- [4] M. Pizzocaro, F. Bregolin, P. Barbieri, B. Rauf, F. Levi, and D. Calonico, “Absolute frequency measurement of the  $^1\text{S}_0 - ^3\text{P}_0$  transition of  $^{171}\text{Yb}$  with a link to international atomic time,” *Metrologia*, vol. 57, no. 3, p. 035007, may 2020. Online: <https://doi.org/10.1088%2F1681-7575%2F57%2F3%2F035007>
- [5] K. Beloy, W. F. McGrew, X. Zhang, D. Nicolodi, R. J. Fasano, Y. S. Hassan, R. C. Brown, and A. D. Ludlow, “Modeling motional energy spectra and lattice light




Figure 1: Fractional frequency deviation  $y(\text{HM1401103}/\text{ITYb1})$  measured in the period MJD 59394 - 59419. Green shaded regions in the bottom plot represent the uptime of IT-Yb1.

shifts in optical lattice clocks,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 101, p. 053416, May 2020. Online: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.053416>

- [6] C. Grebing, A. Al-Masoudi, S. Dörscher, S. Häfner, V. Gerginov, S. Weyers, B. Lipphardt, F. Riehle, U. Sterr, and C. Lisdat, “Realization of a timescale with an accurate optical lattice clock,” *Optica*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 563–569, Jun 2016. Online: <http://www.osapublishing.org/optica/abstract.cfm?URI=optica-3-6-563>