FREQUENCY COMPARISON (H_MASER 140 0890) - (LNE-SYRTE-FOM)
For the period MJD 55404 to MJD 55434

The primary frequency standard LNE-SYRTE-FOM has been compared to the hydrogen Maser 140 0890 of the laboratory, during 1 measurement campaign between MJD 55404 and 55434 (27th July 2010 – 26th August 2010).

The mean frequency difference at the middle date of the period is given in the following table:

Period (MJD)	Date of the estimation	$y(HMaser_{140\ 0890} - FOM)$	u_B	u_A	$u_{link\ /\ maser}$
55404 – 55434	55419	-2084.4	8.6	2	20.0

Table 1: Results of the comparison in 1 x 10^{-16}.

During that period, the FOM fountain was operated at Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur (OCA) in Grasse, France, for the test of the Time Transfer by Laser Link T2L2. The FOM operation was similar to the one at SYRTE, in Paris Observatory. The interrogating signal synthesis is based on the multiplication of a 100 MHz signal provided by a quartz oscillator phase locked to a hydrogen maser. It uses a synthesizer to lock the microwave signal on the atomic resonance. The frequency difference between the OCA Maser and the fountain is deduced from the corrections applied to the synthesizer. The OCA Maser in Grasse is connected to the H-Maser 140 0890 in Paris, via a GPS carrier phase time transfer link.

Average value and uncertainties

The average value of H-Maser 140 0890 is calculated as follows:
First, we calculate frequency data of the local comparison OCA Maser - FOM, averaged over 0.1 day. We use a linear fit to estimate the frequency at the middle of each 0.1 day interval.
Second, the GPS phase data are filtered using a quadratic fit calculated over 0.1 day intervals. The average frequencies are then determined by differentiation of the phase data every 0.1 day.
We then select the points corresponding to the synchronous operation of the fountain and the GPS link. The synchronous operation covers ~ 60 % of the total measurement duration.
The average data of H-Maser 140 0890 - FOM are plotted on figure 1, together with a linear fit $y = a + b(x - x_{middle\ date})$. The parameters of the fit are given in the insert of Figure 1.

These coefficients are used to remove the frequency drift of the maser and to calculate the average value at the middle date, given in table 1. Figure 2 gives the variance of the frequency residuals. The major contribution on the uncertainty of the comparison H-Maser 140 0890 - FOM is the noise of the GPS link, which is limited to u_{link} ~ 2 x 10^{-15}. We verified the short term stability of FOM against the OCA Maser is lower than 2 x 10^{-13} at 1 s. We estimate a conservative value u_A ~ 2 x 10^{-16} for the statistical uncertainty over the measurement duration. At the noise level of the link, the effect of the dead times is negligible.

Frequency Comparison Maser 1400890 in Paris - FOM in Grasse

Linear Fit :
$Y = -2.08445E-13 - 8.46452E-17 \ X$

Figure 1: Data processing for the period MJD 55404-55434

Date: 09/02/10 Time: 17:20:27   Data Points 1 thru 181 of 181   Tau=8.6400000e+03   File: FOM890_Residus.dat

FREQUENCY STABILITY
FOM890_Residus.dat

| Tau	Sigma
8.64e+03	9.91e-15
1.73e+04	6.01e-15
3.46e+04	3.65e-15
8.64e+04	1.71e-15
1.81e+05	1.52e-15

Figure 2 : Overlapping Allan deviation of the comparisons H140 0890-FOM

Accuracy

The frequency is corrected from the quadratic Zeeman, the Black Body radiation, the cold collisions and cavity pulling, and the red shift effects. The following table summarizes the budget of systematic effects and their associated uncertainties. The accuracy is the quadratic sum of all the systematic uncertainties.

	Correction (10^{-16})	Uncertainty (10^{-16})
Quadratic Zeeman effect	-295.9	1.2
Black body radiation	165.8	0.6
Cold collisions and cavity pulling	25.1	5.0
Microwave power dependence : First order Doppler & Microwave spectral purity & leakage	0	6
Ramsey & Rabi pulling	0	< 0.1
Microwave recoil	0	< 1.4
Second order Doppler effect	0	< 0.1
Background gas collisions	0	<1.0
Total	**-105.0**	**8.1**
Red shift	- 1384.1	3.0
Total with red shift	**-1489.1**	**8.6**

Table 2: budget of systematic effects and uncertainties for SYRTE-FOM fountain

$$u_B = 8.6 \times 10^{-16}$$