

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Time and Frequency Division
Atomic Frequency Standards Group, M/S 847.5
325 Broadway
Boulder, CO, 80305 USA

Date: December 31, 2009

To: Dr. Felicitas Arias
Time Section, BIPM
FAX: 33 1 45 07 70 59
Phone: 33 1 45 07 70 76

From: Dr. Steven R. Jefferts
FAX: 1 303 497 6461
Phone: 1 303 497 7377

Dear Dr. Arias,

Attached is the report of our most recent formal evaluation of NIST-F1, a cesium fountain primary frequency standard. The report period is for the 10 day interval from MJD 55184 to 55194. However, the fountain was operated in a nearly continuous fashion over a shorter evaluation interval from MJD 55187.1 to 55194.0. Details of the standard's design, construction, and performance are presented in references 1 - 8 listed on page 7. A detailed summary of the present evaluation is included in this report. The evaluation results using the BIPM format are given on pages 2 and 6. This is a full evaluation in which a range of atom densities were used in order to help determine the spin exchange shift, though it is a relatively short run. Some adjustments have been made to the optical system and laser, therefore, we have chosen not to use past data on the spin exchange shift.

Steven R. Jefferts
Leader, NIST-F1 Project

Thomas P. Heavner

Thomas E. Parker

SUMMARY

December 2009 Evaluation of NIST-F1

The most recent evaluation of NIST-F1 is reported. The number

$$Y_{(\text{Maser-NISTF1})} = -320.14 \times 10^{-15}$$

is the average fractional frequency difference between NIST-F1 and the hydrogen maser ST0022, (clock # 40222) over the 10 day report period MJD 55184 to 55194. The type A uncertainty of the fountain for this evaluation (statistical confidence on the frequency measurement including a component due to spin exchange, but not including dead time) is 0.56×10^{-15} (1σ). The type B uncertainty from known biases (not including spin exchange) is 0.31×10^{-15} (1σ). The combined uncertainty (type A and type B) is 0.64×10^{-15} (1σ). The uncertainty becomes 0.72×10^{-15} (1σ) when the contribution from dead time, $u_{\text{link/lab}}$, is included. A detailed description of the various biases and uncertainties is given in the following sections of this report.

RESULTS IN BIPM FORMAT

| Report period | | MJD 55184 to 55194 |
|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Maser frequency (ST0022), clock # 40222) | | $Y_{(\text{Maser-NISTF1})} = -320.14 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| Statistical | u_A | 0.56×10^{-15} |
| Systematic | u_B | 0.31×10^{-15} |
| Link to clock | $u_{\text{link/lab}}$ (10 days) | 0.32×10^{-15} |
| Link to TAI (estimated) | $u_{\text{link/TAI}}$ (10 days) | 0.88×10^{-15} |
| Combined (estimated) | u | 1.13×10^{-15} |

1. DETAILS OF EVALUATION

An accuracy evaluation of NIST-F1 has been completed in which the frequency of a hydrogen maser was determined with respect to the primary frequency standard. The report period is 10 days, but the fountain was operated only over the 6.95 day evaluation interval of MJD 55187.05 to 55194.00. Of the 6.95 days intended for the measurement of the maser frequency, 6.76 days of data were collected (97.2% run time). The lost run time was from intentional and unintentional interruptions to the fountain operation. The percentage run time for the entire report period is 67.6%. A time line of the 10 day report period is shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Time Line

| MJD | Event |
|----------|-------------------------------------|
| 55184.00 | Start report period |
| 54187.05 | Start fountain run, low density (8) |
| 55191.99 | End low density, |
| 55192.01 | Start high density (25.5) |
| 55192.99 | End high density |
| 55193.00 | Start low density (8) |
| 55194.00 | End low density, end fountain run |
| 55194.00 | End report period |

A factor of up to 3.2 in atom density was covered in this evaluation and the current atom density slope was obtained by a weighted linear least-mean-square fit [3]. The atom densities in laboratory units are shown in parentheses in Table 1. The zero density frequency was obtained using the current atom density slope data. Other corrections are also made to the raw frequency data in order to compensate for known biases which are described below [2]. Units for all biases are fractional frequency $\times 10^{-15}$ and all uncertainties are 1 sigma.

1A. Quadratic Zeeman Bias

The quadratic Zeeman bias was determined by measuring the linear Zeeman splitting of the microwave spectrum. The magnetic field was monitored during the entire run. No significant changes were made to the Zeeman bias since the last run. The resulting bias and uncertainty are shown below.

| Bias | Type B Uncertainty |
|---------|--------------------|
| +180.18 | 0.013 |

1B. Spin Exchange Bias

Measurements were made over a range of atom densities. A factor of 3.2 in atom density was covered and the frequency at zero density was obtained from the zero density intercept of a weighted linear least-mean-square fit of frequency versus atom density [3]. Seven data points (each nominally 24 hours) were used in the fit and a reduced chi squared of 0.79 was obtained. This corresponds to a Birge ratio of 0.89. By using a range of atom densities there is no fixed spin exchange bias, however the bias in fractional frequency from the lowest measured density to zero density was -0.81×10^{-15} with an uncertainty of 0.32×10^{-15} . These values are shown below for informational purposes only. They are not included in the total of the type B biases and uncertainties of Table 2 since they are already incorporated into the intercept and its uncertainty (type A uncertainty). Note that 85% of the fountain run time was at the low atom density.

| Bias | Type B Uncertainty |
|-------|--------------------|
| -0.81 | 0.32 |

1C. Blackbody Bias

The blackbody bias is calculated from the temperature of the drift region. The resulting bias and its uncertainty are shown below.

| Bias | Type B Uncertainty |
|--------|--------------------|
| -22.65 | 0.28 |

1D. Microwave Amplitude Shift

No additional measurements on the microwave amplitude dependence were made for this evaluation, so the bias is unchanged from the previous run. The observed bias is consistent with zero, but we have chosen to include it in the list of corrected biases.

| Bias | Type B Uncertainty |
|--------|--------------------|
| -0.026 | 0.12 |

1E. Combined variable and fixed biases

There are additional biases that do not change under normal circumstances. The complete list of all biases (run dependent and fixed) and their corresponding uncertainties are shown in Table 2. This table is based on [2]. Only the first 5 biases are explicitly corrected for since the rest are all well under 1×10^{-16} . **The maximum magnitudes of all uncorrected biases are indicated in blue.**

Table 2: Known Frequency Biases and Their Type B Uncertainty.
(Units are fractional frequency $\times 10^{-15}$)

| Physical Effect | Bias | Type B Uncertainty |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Gravitational Red shift | +179.95 | 0.03 |
| Second-Order Zeeman | +180.18 | 0.013 |
| Blackbody | -22.65 | 0.28 |
| Microwave Amplitude Shift | -0.026 | 0.12 |
| Spin Exchange shift from lowest density | (-0.81)* | (0.32)* |
| AC Zeeman (heaters) | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Cavity Pulling | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Rabi Pulling | 10^{-4} | 10^{-4} |
| Ramsey Pulling | 10^{-4} | 10^{-4} |
| Majorana Transitions | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Fluorescence Light Shift | 10^{-5} | 10^{-5} |
| Cavity Phase (distributed) | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Second-Order Doppler | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| DC Stark Effect | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Background Gas Collisions | 10^{-3} | 10^{-3} |
| Bloch-Siegert | 10^{-4} | 10^{-4} |
| RF Spectral purity | 3×10^{-3} | 3×10^{-3} |
| Integrator offset | 0 | 0.01 |
| Total Type B Standard Uncertainty | | 0.31 |

*For information purposes only. Not used in total, see section 1-B for details

2. EVALUATION INTERVAL RESULTS (MJD 55187.05 to 55194.00)

When corrections for the biases of Table 2 are applied, the following result for the measurement of $Y_{(\text{Maser-NISTF1})}$ is obtained. Units are fractional frequency $\times 10^{-15}$.

| Corrected Frequency | Type A Uncertainty | Total Type B Uncertainty – does not include spin exchange | Combined Uncertainty |
|---------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| -320.52 | 0.56 | 0.31 | 0.64 |

3. INFLUENCE OF DEAD TIME

NIST-F1 was operated for a total of only 6.76 days during this 10 day report period so the dead time has a small impact on the overall uncertainty. However, NIST has a well characterized ensemble of hydrogen masers so this impact can be quantified. The frequency stability and drift of the reference maser and ensemble are well known. A small drift correction of $+0.38 \times 10^{-15}$ is necessary and the dead time contributes an additional type A uncertainty of 0.32×10^{-15} . See references 10 - 12. A new procedure was used to handle distributed dead time [12]. This results in an improved estimate of the dead time uncertainty.

4. FINAL REPORT PERIOD RESULTS

Applying the correction resulting from dead time to the evaluation interval results yields the following 10 day final report period results. All uncertainties a 1σ .

| | |
|--|---|
| Report period | MJD 55184 to 55194 |
| Maser frequency (ST0022, clock # 40222) | $Y_{(\text{maser-NISTF1})} = -320.14 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| Type A uncertainty (not including dead time) | 0.56×10^{-15} |
| Type B uncertainty | 0.31×10^{-15} |
| <u>Combined uncertainty (fountain only)</u> | 0.64×10^{-15} |
| Type A uncertainty from dead time | 0.32×10^{-15} |
| <u>Combined uncertainty with dead time</u> | 0.72×10^{-15} |
| Uncertainty in link to TAI for 10 days (estimated) | 0.88×10^{-15} |
| <u>Combined total uncertainty (estimated)</u> | 1.13×10^{-15} |

5. REFERENCES

1. S.R. Jefferts, J. Shirley, T. E. Parker, T.P. Heavner, D.M. Meekhof, C. Nelson, F. Levi, G. Costanzo, A. DeMarchi, R. Drullinger, L. Hollberg, W.D. Lee and F.L. Walls, "Accuracy Evaluation of NIST-F1," *Metrologia*, vol. 39, pp 321-336, 2002.
2. T.P. Heavner, S.R. Jefferts, E.A. Donley, J.H. Shirley, and T.E. Parker, "NIST-F1: Recent Improvements and Accuracy Evaluations," *Metrologia*, vol. 42, pp 411-422, 2005.
3. T.E. Parker, S.R. Jefferts, T.P. Heavner, and E.A. Donley, "Operation of the NIST-F1 Caesium Fountain Primary Frequency Standard with a Maser Ensemble, Including the Impact of Frequency Transfer Noise," *Metrologia*, vol. 42, pp 423-430, 2005.
4. J.H. Shirley, F. Levi, T.P. Heavner, D. Calonico, D. Yu and S.R. Jefferts, "Microwave Leakage Induced Frequency Shifts in the Primary Frequency Standards NIST-F1 and IEN-CSF1," *IEEE Trans. on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 53, pp. 2376-2385, 2006.
5. F. Levi, J.H. Shirley, T.P. Heavner, D. Yu, and S.R. Jefferts, "Power Dependence of the Frequency Bias Caused by Spurious Components in the Microwave Spectrum in Atomic Fountains," *IEEE Trans. on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 53, pp. 1584-1589, 2006
6. S.R. Jefferts, J.H. Shirley, N. Ashby, E.A. Burt, and G.J. Dick, "Power Dependence of Distributed Cavity Phase-Induced Frequency Biases in Atomic Fountain Frequency Standards," *IEEE Trans. on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 52, pp 2314-2321, 2004
7. S.R. Jefferts, T.P. Heavner, E.A. Donley and T.E. Parker, "Measurement of Dynamic End-to-End Cavity Phase Shifts in Cesium-Fountain Frequency Standards," *IEEE Trans. on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 51, pp 652-653, 2005.
8. S.R. Jefferts, R.E Drullinger, A. DeMarchi, "NIST Cesium Fountain Microwave Cavities," in *Proc. 1998 IEEE International Freq. Control Symp.*, pp 6-8, 1998.
9. N. K. Pavlis and M. Weiss, "The Relativistic Redshift with 3×10^{-17} Uncertainty at NIST, Boulder, Colorado, USA," *Metrologia*, vol. 40, pp 66-73, 2003.
10. T.E. Parker, "Hydrogen Maser Ensemble Performance and Characterization of Frequency Standards," in *Proc. 1999 Joint Meeting of European Freq. and Time Forum and IEEE International Freq. Control Symp.*, pp 173-176, 1999.
11. R.J. Douglas and J.S. Boulanger, "Standard Uncertainty for Average Frequency Traceability," in *Proc. 11th European Freq. and Time Forum.*, pp 345-349, 1997.
12. Dai-Hyuk Yu, Marc Weiss and Thomas E. Parker, "Uncertainty of a Frequency Comparison with Distributed Dead Time and Measurement Interval Offset," *Metrologia*, vol. 44, pp 91-96, 2007.

Appendix

Summary of accumulated changes in biases and uncertainties since the state of NIST-F1 discussed in references 2 and 3

- (1) 30 day evaluation of June/July 2005 (MJD 53529-53559)
Modifications to the optical detection electronics and the low noise quartz oscillator improved the stability (u_A) of NIST-F1. More measurements with respect to microwave leakage reduced this uncertainty from 2×10^{-16} to 1.4×10^{-16} .
- (2) 40 day evaluation of September/October 2005 (MJD 53629-53669)
A magnetic field monitor was added to NIST-F1. No change was needed in the second order Zeeman bias uncertainty. Also, no other Type B uncertainties have been changed.
- (3) 40 day evaluation of December 2005/January 2006 (MJD 53724-53764)
The fountain cycle time was shortened a bit and this resulted in a small improvement in short-term stability. Also the magnetic field uniformity was improved by shield degaussing and shimming, and this resulted in a small decrease in the Zeeman bias. There were no changes in the Type B uncertainties.
- (4) 40 day evaluation of February/March 2006 (MJD 53784-53824)
No significant changes were made to NIST-F1 other than a slight increase in the average atom density. There were no changes in the Type B uncertainties.
- (5) 30 day evaluation of October 2006 (MJD 54009-54039)
NIST-F1 was moved to a room with better environmental control and which is closer to the hydrogen masers and the time scale (shorter cables). The fountain was damaged in the move and as a result the microwave cavities, drift tube, and source region were replaced with nearly identical parts. All of the replaced parts are functionally the same as the originals. Because of the change in location and the repairs, the Zeeman and blackbody corrections are slightly larger, along with their uncertainties. The gravitational red shift is slightly smaller due primarily to the fact the fountain is now one floor lower than before, but there is no change in uncertainty. The bias and uncertainty for microwave leakage below the cavities are slightly larger.
- (6) 20 day evaluation of February 2007 (MJD 54134-54154)
No significant changes were made to NIST-F1 for this evaluation, except that the magnetic field above the Ramsey cavity was increased by a factor of 2 in order to improve the field uniformity and decrease the possible effects of off resonant transitions. As an indirect result of these changes the atom cloud was slightly larger and probably colder. This resulted in a higher atom number at the lowest density used and consequently an improved short-term stability. Also additional microwave amplitude measurements were made to reduce the uncertainty on the microwave amplitude shift. This resulted in a slight decrease in the overall Type B uncertainty.
- (7) 15 day evaluation of April 2007 (MJD 54204-54219)
No significant changes were made to NIST-F1 since the February 2007 evaluation.
- (8) 15 day evaluation of May 2007 (MJD 54219-54234)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the April 2007 evaluation.

- (9) 25 day evaluation of August 2007 (MJD 54314-54339)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the May 2007 evaluation other than additional microwave power measurements which resulted in a slight decrease in the Type B uncertainty.
- (10) 15 day “mini” evaluation of October 2007 (MJD 54384-54399)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the August 2007 full evaluation.
- (11) 10 day “mini” evaluation of November 2007 (MJD 54409-54419)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the August 2007 full evaluation.
- (12) 25 day full evaluation of January 2008 (MJD 54469-54494)
The state selection synthesizer was replaced, but this had no impact on the accuracy or operation of NIST-F1.
- (13) 15 day “mini” evaluation of April 2008 (MJD 54554-54569)
The repump laser was replaced, but this had no impact on the accuracy or operation of NIST-F1.
- (14) 20 day “mini” evaluation of July 2008 (MJD 54654-54674)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the April 2008 evaluation other than a slight refinement to the blackbody temperature.
- (15) 25 day full evaluation of November 2008 (MJD 54764-54799)
No significant changes were made to NIST-F1 since the July 2008 evaluation other than adjustments to the optical system which resulted in a modest improvement to the frequency stability of NIST-F1. Also, a change was made in the method for calculating the spin exchange shift and its uncertainty. The new method uses slopes from previous full evaluations as well as the current slope.
- (16) 15 day “micro” evaluation of December 2008 (MJD 54814-54829)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2008 evaluation.
- (17) 15 day “micro” evaluation of January 2009 (MJD 54844-54859)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2008 evaluation.
- (18) 15 day “micro” evaluation of February 2009 (MJD 54864-54879)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2008 evaluation.
- (19) 15 day “micro” evaluation of March 2009 (MJD 54904-54919)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2008 evaluation.
- (20) 15 day “micro” evaluation of April 2009 (MJD 54924-54939)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2008 evaluation.
- (21) 10 day “micro” evaluation of May 2009 (MJD 54969-54979)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2008 evaluation.
- (22) 15 day “micro” evaluation of June 2009 (MJD 54994-55009)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2008 evaluation.
- (23) 20 day full evaluation of October 2009 (MJD 55114-55134)
The main Ti/Sapphire laser failed and had to be repaired. This resulted in significant changes to the optical alignment so previous spin exchange slopes were not used. Other parameters were not affected.
- (24) 15 day “micro” evaluation of November 2009 (MJD 55134-55149)
No changes were made to NIST-F1 since the October 2009 evaluation.
- (25) 10 day full evaluation of December 2009 (MJD 55184-55194)
No significant changes were made to NIST-F1 since the November 2009 evaluation.