

# IT-CsF1 TAI EVALUATION

## MJD 55564-55589 (January, 03<sup>rd</sup> -28<sup>th</sup> 2011)

### *Introduction*

During the period MJD 55564.0-55589.0, INRiM has evaluated the frequency of its Hydrogen Maser IT-HM1 (BIPM code 1401101) using the Cs fountain primary frequency standard IT-CsF1. The evaluation procedure of the fountain standard follows the general procedures reported in [1, 2]; we report here details on the Type A and Type B uncertainty evaluation, together with the internal transfer uncertainty (including the contribution of dead time).

### *IT-CsF1 Accuracy Evaluation*

#### Black Body Radiation Shift $\Delta\nu_{\text{BBR}}$

The evaluation of the Blackbody Radiation (BBR) Shift  $\Delta\nu_{\text{BBR}}$  requires to know the effective BBR temperature  $T$  experienced by the atoms along their ballistic flight. For the calculation of  $T$ , we interpolate the temperature data coming from four thermocouples positioned along the drift tube with a polygonal curve and then we calculate the average radiation temperature experimented by the atoms at a given position (integrated over the solid angle); in this way it is possible to take into account also the effect of the two “holes” in the blackbody radiator, the upper window and the hole in the microwave cavity. The values obtained at different elevations inside the fountain drift tube are then used to calculate the time averaged radiation temperature seen by the atoms along their ballistic flight. See the discussion reported in [3] for details.

To evaluate  $\Delta\nu_{\text{BBR}}$  from the effective temperature  $T$  we follow the well known relation discussed for example in [3] and reported here below; the leading coefficient  $\beta$  here used is calculated using results presented in [4]; the coefficient  $\epsilon$  is taken from [5].

$$\Delta\nu_{\text{BBR}} = \beta (T/300)^4 \cdot [1+\epsilon(T/300)^2]$$

$$\beta = (-1.711 \pm 0.003) \cdot 10^{-14}$$

$$\epsilon = 0.014$$

$$T = 66.2 \pm 1.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C} = 339.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ K}$$

$$\Delta\nu_{\text{BBR}} = (-28.5 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-15}$$

#### Gravitational Red Shift $\Delta\nu_{\text{RS}}$

Gravitational redshift at the IT-CsF1 location was accurately calculated during 2006 and the result from that activity is used here to correct the TAI calibration data. These evaluation data take advantage of some refined gravimetric data, coming from an accurate Geoid regional model and levelling techniques together with precise geometrical measurements of the vertical position of IT-CsF1 with respect to the geodetic reference markers. A detailed description of this work is reported in a Metrologia paper [6].

$$\Delta\nu_{RS} = \gamma \cdot h$$

$$\gamma = 1.09 \cdot 10^{-16} \text{ m}^{-1}$$

$$h = 239.43 \pm 0.03 \text{ m}$$

$$\Delta\nu_{RS} = (+26.10 \pm 0.01) \cdot 10^{-15}$$

### Quadratic Zeeman Shift $\Delta\nu_Z$

The effective C-field experienced by the atoms ( $B_0$ ) along their trajectory is calculated (see [1] for details) from a field map which is obtained measuring the low frequency magnetic resonance transitions when the atoms are at the apogee; the map is completed launching the atoms at different apogee heights.

The C-field map was evaluated on January 2011 and it is reported in the figure 1. It was used to calculate the quadratic Zeeman shift by mean of a field integration over the flight time. Reference for the value of the quadratic Zeeman constant K is [7].




Figure 1. C-field map, January 2011.

The uncertainty associated to the magnetic field was derived with three independent methods.

First, we evaluated the frequency instability of the clock locked on the central fringe of the magnetic sensitive transition  $F=3, m_F=-1 \rightarrow F=4, m_F=-1$ . This yields to a value that is better than  $5 \cdot 10^{-12}$  over one day of measurement. Consequently the instability on the clock transition is  $< 1 \cdot 10^{-17}$ . Second, the long term instability of the C-field was evaluated comparing mapping results during last year. These results differ by  $2 \cdot 10^{-16}$ . Adopting a conservative approach, this value is considered an estimation of the stability of dc quadratic Zeeman shift during this run.

The heater used to frequency tune the Ramsey cavity and to stabilize the drift tube temperature is powered with an audio-frequency generator (70 kHz) to avoid the penetration of the generated magnetic field inside the drift tube.

The heater is operated cw during the whole operation cycle of the fountain, in order to prevent a dynamic end-to-end phase shift [6] caused by a temperature modulation of the cavity synchronous with the Ramsey cycle.

Although shielded by several skin depths, a residual rms magnetic field produced by the audio frequency generator could penetrate inside the drift tube, causing a quadratic Zeeman shift of the clock transition frequency. A calibration of this effect is performed feeding the part of the heater around the drift tube with a known dc power, while the cavities are kept on resonance by the part of the heater around the cavities only (fed as usual by an ac power at 70 kHz), where the thickness of the copper is larger and the shielding effect is estimated higher by several orders of magnitude.

We measured the magnetic field generated by the dc powered heater coils observing the frequency shift of the  $F=3, m_F=-1 \rightarrow F=4, m_F=-1$  transition, then we use this value to evaluate the residual magnetic field due to the ac power. The calibration shows that the Zeeman shift due to the ac powered heater is less than  $4 \cdot 10^{-17}$ . The total uncertainty on the Zeeman shift correction is then conservatively stated as  $2 \cdot 10^{-16}$ .

$$\Delta\nu_Z = K \cdot B_0^2$$

$$K = 427.45 \text{ Hz/T}^2$$

$B_0$ , C-field as calculated with the map

$$\Delta\nu_Z = (+46.3 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-15}$$

### Collisional Shift

The collisional shift is corrected measuring the collisional coefficient. This latter is evaluated alternating operation at two different densities, labelled HD (high density) and LD (low density). The average density ratio between HD and LD conditions is about 3.5 and the total accumulated run time at LD is 4 times larger than in HD, as in LD the stability is degraded by the low atom number. The collisional coefficient value uncertainty associated to this evaluation is evaluated to be 10%, considered as a Type B uncertainty in the present budget.

This technique assumes that the proportionality between the density and the atomic signal (the parameter which is actually detected) is constant during the whole run. To account for a possible deviation from the proportionality (due the instability of detection efficiency) a Type B uncertainty contribution which value is equal to the 20% of the total average of the density shift during the run is assumed.

During the present evaluation, the average value of the cold collision relative frequency shift and the associated composed type B uncertainty were:

$$\Delta\nu_{\text{Coll}} = (-2.0 \pm 0.4) \cdot 10^{-15}$$

### Other Shifts

The actual influence of other shifts resulting from several physical and technical effects was carefully investigated during the most recent history of IT-CsF1. The contribution of these shifts is either negligible or not easily modelled and then no correction is applied for. Only an uncertainty

contribution is provided for these effects, reflecting the estimation of their maximum values during the fountain operation.

These shifts, either theoretically estimated or measured, are [1,2]

- Resonant light shift
- Distributed cavity shift
- Dynamic end-to-end phase shift [8]
- Cavity pulling
- Relativistic Doppler shift
- Synthesizer and numerical loop errors
- Microwave leakage and power-related shifts

In order to estimate the shift and the uncertainty contributions which shows a dependence with respect to the microwave power, the fountain is operated at odd multiples  $(2n+1)\pi/2$  ( $n=1,2,\dots$ ) of the optimum Rabi pulse area ( $2b_0\tau = \pi/2$ ). One of the nastier and more frequent microwave related shifts is the leakage that can occur during the fountain operation.

As it was recently reported [9], the relation between the microwave field amplitude and the leakage induced shift is not linear and can be dramatically different if the leakage occurs between the two Ramsey interrogations or after the second one, before the detection stage.

For these reasons, leverage tests were designed following the theory reported in [9], and different tests were conducted to estimate the shift due to the leakage during different stages of the fountain cycle. In particular, driving the fountain at high microwave power, it has sometimes shown an evidence of a microwave leakage occurring during the time interval between the second Ramsey pulse and the detection.

The microwave synthesizer shares the same design of IT-CsF2 one. The details of this synthesizer are reported in [10;11] .

After these big changes, the tests for the microwave power related shifts have been particularly long and in-depth. These tests were conducted during the three months preceding last evaluation and account for about one month of total accumulated running time. Many different tests were conducted but fountain measurements with  $\pi/2$ ,  $3\pi/2$ ,  $5\pi/2$ ,  $7\pi/2$  Rabi pulse areas provide results in agreement with the theory reported in [9], showing a microwave leakage occurring during the time interval between the second Ramsey pulse and the detection as it occurred in the past.

On December 2010, before and after the present evaluation, multi- $\pi/2$  measurements have been performed, and their result is in agreement with a microwave leakage shift  $< 0.4 \cdot 10^{-15}$ .

## Summary of accuracy evaluation

Effect	Shift ( $10^{-15}$ )	Uncertainty ( $10^{-15}$ )
2 <sup>nd</sup> order Zeeman Shift	+46.3	0.2
Blackbody Radiation Shift	-28.5	0.3
Gravitational Red Shift	+26.1	0.01
Microwave Leakage Shift	--	0.4
Collisional Shift (Systematic)	-2.0 (*)	0,4
Other shifts	--	0.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>+43.9</b>	<b>0,7</b>

Table 1. Summary of corrected and uncorrected shifts and uncertainty budget for IT-CsF1, MJD 55564.0-55589.0. (\*) Average value, not accounted for the total correction reported in the last line.

## Evaluation of the average frequency $y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$

During the reported evaluation period, the H-maser HM1 (BIPM code 1401101) was used as local oscillator.

The average frequency  $y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$  over the period MJD 55564.0-55589.0 was calculated with a classical linear fit. First, the row data are corrected for the biases reported in Table 1, and then they are fitted using a linear equation of the following form:

$$y = a + bt$$

which fits the data  $(y_i, t_i)$  where the  $y_i$  is the value of the measurement  $y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$  taken at the epoch  $t_i$ . The meaning of the parameter  $a$ , which can be regarded as  $y(t=0)$ , is the estimation of the absolute average frequency  $y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$  if the epoch coordinate origin is taken on the centre of the evaluation interval (MJD 55576.5 in this particular case). The parameter  $b$  is the average maser drift over the evaluation period.

Fitting the maser drift over the evaluation epoch was chosen because fountain dead (lost) time is unavoidable during the evaluation period, and the dead time intervals are neither evenly spaced nor symmetric with respect to the centre of the evaluation period. In these conditions, dead time would have biased an estimation derived by a standard average. Epoch distribution of fountain dead time is reported in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Epoch distribution of the dead time during the present evaluation.

The choice of a linear model for the maser drift takes into account the fact that the HM1 frequency has shown a very stable drift in the past year within periods even larger than 50 days, or as in [12]. The uncertainty associated to the average frequency estimation  $y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$  and reported as Type A, is the uncertainty of the coefficient  $a$  as it is estimated by the least square algorithm. Figure 3 reports  $y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$  data (biases corrected).




Figure 3.  $y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$  data (biases corrected) and the linear fit curve (straight line).

Final results of the statistical analysis is reported in Table 2:

	<b>Value</b>	<b>Uncertainty</b>
HM1 drift ( <i>Coefficient b</i> )	$-2.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ /day	$0.04 \cdot 10^{-15}$ /day
$y(HM1) - y(IT-CsF1)$ extrapolated to zero density, MJD 55564-55589 ( <i>Coefficient a</i> )	$-1155.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$0.3 \cdot 10^{-15}$

Table 2. Results of the weighted linear fit  $y=a+bt$

### ***Local link and dead time uncertainty (ul/lab)***

The HM1 is phase compared to UTC(IT) time scale, which is the reference time scale for remote time and frequency transfer tools, with a Time Interval Counter in the INRiM Time and Frequency laboratory. This comparison introduces a uncertainty contribution to the IT-CsF1 transfer to TAI, which is estimated as  $0.1 \cdot 10^{-15}$  for this evaluation period (25 days).

Dead time in fountain operation introduces a further uncertainty to the frequency transfer to TAI. The estimation of this uncertainty contribution requires the knowledge of the HM1 noise properties. The stability of HM1 could be modelled in terms of Allan variance, as:

$$\sigma_y^2(\tau) = \sigma_{yWF}^2(\tau) + \sigma_{yFF}^2(\tau) + \sigma_{yRW}^2(\tau)$$

where  $\sigma_{yWF}^2(\tau)$ ,  $\sigma_{yFF}^2(\tau)$  and  $\sigma_{yRWF}^2(\tau)$  are respectively the contribution due to white, flicker and random walk frequency noise. A conservative estimation of these contributions is:

$$\sigma_{yWF}(\tau) < 3 \cdot 10^{-13} \tau^{-1/2}$$

$$\sigma_{yFF}(\tau) < 3 \cdot 10^{-16}$$

$$\sigma_{yRW}(\tau) < 2 \cdot 10^{-19} \tau^{1/2}$$

The dead time uncertainty contribution is calculated with a new and improved technique. Starting from the theory reported in [13], an automated software routine [14], implementing a refined algorithm with respect to [13], can handle the actual dead time distribution of the fountain run and provide an estimation of dead time uncertainty. The dead time uncertainty contribution, calculated for the distribution shown in Fig 2 using the software routine [14] is reported in the table below

<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Uncertainty (<math>10^{-15}</math>)</b>
HM link to UTC(IT)	0.1
Fountain Dead Time (28%)	0,3
<b>Total (ul/lab)</b>	<b>0,3</b>

Table 3. Contributions to ul/lab.

### ***Summary of TAI evaluation results***

The final evaluation for the absolute HM1 frequency in the period 55564-55589 is

<b>MJD Period</b>	<b>y(HM1- ITCsF1)</b>	<b>uA</b>	<b>uB</b>	<b>u/lab</b>	<b>uRef</b>
55564-55589	$-1155.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ (*)	$0.3 \cdot 10^{-15}$ (#)	$0.7 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$0.3 \cdot 10^{-15}$ (+)	$0.8 \cdot 10^{-15}$ (§)

Table 4. Final results of IT-CsF1 evaluation.

(\*) HM1 has the BIPM code 1401101

(#) Including collisional shift evaluation uncertainty (Type A contribution)

(+) Including contribution of uncertainties due to the local link to UTC(IT) and to the fountain dead time.

(§) F. Levi, L. Lorini, D. Calonico, A. Godone “IEN-CsF1 primary frequency standard at INRIM: accuracy evaluation and TAI calibrations” Metrologia 43 No 6 (2006) 545-555



## References

- [1] F. Levi, L. Lorini, D. Calonico, A. Godone, "IEN-CsF1 accuracy evaluation and Two-Way frequency comparison". IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 1216-1224 (2004)
- [2] F. Levi, L. Lorini, D. Calonico, A. Godone "IEN-CsF1 primary frequency standard at INRIM: accuracy evaluation and TAI calibrations" Metrologia 43 No 6 (2006) 545-555
- [3] F. Levi, D. Calonico, L. Lorini, S. Micalizio, A. Godone: "Measurement of the blackbody radiation shift of the  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  hyperfine transition in an atomic fountain". Phys. Rev. A, Vol. 70, p. 033412 (2004).
- [4] E. Simon, P. Laurent and A. Clairon, "Measurement of the Stark shift of the Cs hyperfine splitting in an atomic fountain" Phys. Rev. A 57, 436 (1998).
- [5] S. Micalizio, A. Godone, D. Calonico, F. Levi, L. Lorini: "Blackbody radiation shift of the  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  hyperfine transition frequency". Phys. Rev. A, Vol. 69, p. 053401, (2004).
- [6] D. Calonico, A. Cina, I. H. Bendea, F. Levi, L. Lorini, and A. Godone "Gravitational red-shift at INRIM, Italy". Metrologia 44, L44-L48, (2007).
- [7] J. Vanier and C. Audoin, "The Quantum Physics of Atomic Frequency Standards". Bristol/Philadelphia: Adam Hilger, 1989.
- [8] S. R. Jefferts, T. P. Heavner, E. A. Donley and T. E. Parker. "Measurement of Dynamic End-to-End Cavity Phase Shifts in Cesium-Fountain Frequency Standards" IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control, 51 (2004)
- [9] S.R. Jefferts, J.H. Shirley, N. Ashby, E.A. Burt, and G.J. Dick, "Power dependence of distributed cavity phase induced frequency biases in atomic fountain frequency standards" IEEE T. UFFC 52 2314-2321 (2005)  
J.H. Shirley, F. Levi, T.P. Heavner, D. Calonico, D.Yu, and S.R. Jefferts, "Microwave Leakage Induced Frequency Shifts in the Primary Frequency Standards NIST-F1 and IEN-CSF1". IEEE T. UFFC 53 2376-2385 (2006)
- [10] F. Levi, C. Calosso, D. Calonico, L. Lorini, G. A. Costanzo, B. Mongino, E. K. Bertacco, A. Godone, S. R. Jefferts, T. P. Heavner, E. A. Donley, "The Cryogenic Fountain ITCsF2" Proc. 2009 Joint Mtg. IEEE Intl. Freq. Cont. Symp. and EFTF Conf. (on DVD), 769-773 (2009)
- [11] T.P. Heavner, S.R. Jefferts, E.A. Donley, T.E. Parker, and F. Levi "A New Microwave Synthesis Chain for the Primary Frequency Standard NIST-F1", Proc. 2005 Joint Mtg. IEEE Intl. Freq. Cont. Symp. and PTTI, 308-311 (2005)
- [12] A. Bauch, J. Achkar, S. Bize, D. Calonico, R. Dach, R. Hlavac, L. Lorini, T. Parker, G. Petit, D. Piester, K. Szymaniec and P. Urich, "Comparison between frequency standards in Europe and the USA at the  $10^{-15}$  uncertainty level". Metrologia 43 No 1 (February 2006) 109-120
- [13] Dai-Hyuk Yu, Marc Weiss and Thomas E Parker, "Uncertainty of a frequency comparison with distributed dead time and measurement interval offset" Metrologia 44, 91-96 (2007)
- [14] G. Panfilo, T. Parker, Proc. 2007 Joint Mtg. IEEE Intl. Freq. Cont. Symp. and EFTF Conf., 805-810, 2007  
T. Parker, G. Panfilo, Proc. 2007 Joint Mtg. IEEE Intl. Freq. Cont. Symp. and EFTF Conf., 986-991, 2007